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Abstract

Lyme disease Borrelia are obligately parasitic, tick-transmitted, invasive, persistent bacterial pathogens that cause disease in humans and non-reservoir vertebrates primarily through the induction of inflammation. During transmission from the infected tick, the bacteria undergo significant changes in gene expression, resulting in adaptation to the mammalian environment. The organisms multiply and spread locally and induce inflammatory responses that, in humans, result in clinical signs and symptoms. Borrelia virulence involves a multiplicity of mechanisms for dissemination and colonization of multiple tissues and evasion of host immune responses. Most of the tissue damage, which is seen in non-reservoir hosts, appears to result from host inflammatory reactions, despite the low numbers of bacteria in affected sites. This host response to the Lyme disease Borrelia can cause neurologic, cardiovascular, arthritic, and dermatologic manifestations during the disseminated and persistent stages of infection. The mechanisms by which a paucity of organisms (in comparison to many other infectious diseases) can cause varied and in some cases profound inflammation and symptoms remains mysterious but are the subjects of diverse ongoing investigations. In this chapter, we provide an overview of virulence mechanisms and determinants for which roles have been demonstrated in vivo, primarily in mouse models of infection.

Introduction

Lyme disease was first recognized in 1976 when a cluster of cases of juvenile arthritis was recognized in Old Lyme, CT. Many of these patients also reported cutaneous skin lesions that were similar to those reported in Europe that were previously associated with tick bites. There was a strong suspicion that an infectious agent was the underlying cause of both cases in Old Lyme, CT and in Europe, but it was not until 1982 that a spirochete found in Ixodes ticks was suggested to be the cause (Burgdorfer et al., 1982). The role of this bacterium, named Borrelia (B.) burgdorferi, as the causative agent of Lyme disease was quickly established as the bacterium was recovered from patients as well as from reservoir hosts, such as the white-footed mouse. Although first recognized because of its association with arthritis, it became clear that arthritis was a late stage manifestation and that acute infection manifested with a characteristic erythema migrans rash and, in some cases, with cardiac or neurologic involvement.

Prokaryotes evolved approximately 3.5 billion years ago (Schopf, 2000) and, by definition, were initially free-living. The evolution of microbial communities and symbiotic relationships about 1 billion years ago eventually resulted in the development of multicellular eukaryotic organisms, creating new ecologic niches. Bacteria colonized these
ecologic niches as commensal, symbiotic and pathogenic organisms. Development of host-associated pathogens resulted from genetic adaptation to protect themselves, parasitize, and become harmful to other organisms. This adaptation involved modification, acquisition, and loss of a variety of genes, thereby acquiring, creating, and preserving functions that assure survival and losing those that are nonessential.

Lyme disease *Borrelia* developed an unusual lifestyle in which they must alternate between vertebrate and arthropod hosts; they are never free-living (*i.e.*, they do not “hit the ground”). In addition, they belong to a group of highly invasive organisms, the spirochetes, capable of invading multiple tissues in susceptible vertebrates and causing infection and manifestations for months to years (Figure 1). In this manner, infection with Lyme disease *Borrelia* in some respects parallels that of another spirochete, *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum*, the causative agent of syphilis. Both diseases exhibit local, disseminated and persistent manifestations, and tissue pathology appears to be due primarily to host inflammatory reactions. However, there are important differences in the mode of transmission (*i.e.* vectored by an infected tick vs. direct human to human contact). In addition, while Lyme disease and syphilis are both persistent infections with pathology
predominantly caused by inflammatory reactions, each has distinct manifestations. Overlaid on these differences is the fact that the clinical manifestations of Lyme disease vary in different geographic regions. This is believed to be, at least in part, due to differences in the predominant Lyme disease agents in particular regions. For example, *Borrelia garinii*, which is found in Eurasia, has been linked to neurologic disease, while *B. burgdorferi*, the major species found in the U.S. is associated with arthritis. Even among strains of *B. burgdorferi*, there have been differences reported in manifestations and in the severity of inflammation associated with particular strains. The specific differences between species and strains responsible for the varying clinical presentations are only beginning to be identified. Despite a high degree of genetic similarity among pathogenic treponemes, greater clinical distinctions are observed among the diseases they cause (syphilis, bejel, yaws, pinta). The dissimilarities observed in these spirochetal infections reflect important differences in infection patterns, tissue tropisms, and host-pathogen interactions at the molecular level.

In this chapter, we examine the factors involved in the pathogenesis of Lyme disease in experimentally tractable animal models. In some cases, results obtained in animal models can be directly related to human disease (also see chapter 24). It is important to remember that the majority of animal models may not fully reflect *B. burgdorferi* infections of either humans (accidental) or of reservoir hosts in the natural tick-reservoir-tick cycle. Nevertheless, studying the pathogenesis of invasive, nontoxigenic organisms requires animal models for advancing understanding of mechanisms of invasion, dissemination, and persistence. Such investigations are relatively complex, requiring analysis of gene products (e.g., adhesins, or adhesive proteins, and other surface molecules) that are best studied in the context of intact host and pathogen organisms. Only in the setting of an intact animal can the roles of critical interactions be fully evaluated. It is also important to note that low passage, infectious strains of *B. burgdorferi* have low transformation rates, associated with the presence of putative restriction-modification systems encoded on genomic plasmids frequently lost during in vitro culture (Lawrenz et al., 2002; Kawabata et al., 2004). At least one of these plasmids is required for infection of mice and ticks, making genetic manipulations more challenging than with many other organisms. Thus, our knowledge of the genes important in *B. burgdorferi* pathogenesis is still expanding rapidly and is certainly not complete.

Additional complications in understanding *B. burgdorferi* pathogenesis are the differences in the immune responses between different hosts. In its natural reservoir host, *Peromyscus leucopus*, the white footed mouse, no inflammatory response is seen with infection. In contrast, different strains of inbred *Mus* mice manifest varying responses to the organism. With some strains of inbred mice, the manifestations may mimic those seen in human Lyme disease (e.g., carditis, arthritis). However, it is unclear whether the underlying mechanisms for generating these responses are similar. Because of the complexity of host-pathogen interactions, this chapter can include only a portion of relevant studies; the reader is asked to refer to the other chapters in this book and the primary literature for additional detail regarding gene regulation, motility, interactions with the tick vectors, animal models, host responses, and other factors that contribute to pathogenesis and disease.

**The infection process**

*Virulence determinants of Borrelia burgdorferi*

Current knowledge regarding the virulence determinants of Lyme disease *Borrelia* is summarized in Table 1, which appears at the end of this chapter. Herein, we define virulence determinants to include all genes that affect the infection process. This designation, therefore, includes genes involved in basic metabolic processes required for survival in a host animal, but that do not necessarily directly or actively engage the host. In Table 1, we focus on genes that encode functions required for *B. burgdorferi* to (i) survive in, and (ii) invade the mammalian host (disseminate), and (iii) cause disease, as described in greater detail below. The focus on *B. burgdorferi* reflects the volume of work done with this species, although most of the genes and proteins included in Table 1 have orthologs in the other Lyme disease spirochetes. One important consideration is that any virulence determinant should fulfill molecular Koch's postulates (Falkow, 1988), which essentially require that (i) a phenotype or gene be specific to pathogenic members of a genus, (ii) inactivation of a gene results in mutant with reduced infectivity (the ability to cause infection) or pathogenicity (the ability to cause disease), and (iii) complementation of the mutant restores the properties of the wild-type. Note that all *Borrelia* isolated from ticks or wildlife could be
considered infectious due to their obligate parasitic lifecycles but that pathogenicity also depends on the host animal. For example, it is now clear that not all members of the Lyme disease taxonomic clade of *Borrelia* can cause human infection, so cannot be considered as human pathogens. In *Borrelia* species, attenuation of infectivity during laboratory culture is frequently associated with loss of genomic plasmids maintained in the natural life cycle.

**Overview of the infection process**

An overview of the basic steps of the infection process and host responses in Lyme borreliosis is presented in Figure 2. Table 1 summarizes specific *B. burgdorferi* genes and gene products that have been implicated as virulence determinants or factors in vivo. We recognize that additional *Borrelia* species cause Lyme borreliosis, but genetic tools that allow testing of hypotheses experimentally have been developed most extensively for use and deployed in, *B. burgdorferi* (chapter 16 by Rosa and Jewett).

During tick transmission, the bacterium undergoes changes in gene expression that permit it to adapt to the mammalian environment (see chapter 5 by Samuels et al.). Survival and replication in the dermis involve adaptation to the increased temperature, altered pH, and differences in nutrient availability, as well as fending off host innate immune mechanisms. Binding of complement regulators by a number of *B. burgdorferi* proteins likely plays a key role in survival throughout infection and in diverse vertebrate hosts. Motility and chemotaxis (chapter 8 by Sze et al.) also are important for local and systemic dissemination. Binding to a variety of host tissue components provides activities, such as adherence to the vascular wall and extravasation, while adherence to extravascular tissue components facilitates persistent colonization of diverse tissue sites. The acquisition of host plasmin may aid in the tissue invasion process. Immune responses, both innate and adaptive (chapter 18 by Bockenstedt et al.), contribute to control of the numbers of the organisms but also contribute to tissue pathology and disease manifestations. Lyme disease *Borrelia* have established mechanisms, including antigenic variation, that permit survival for months to years in the mammalian host; indeed, experimentally infected mice appear to be infected for life. This persistence is likely required to maintain the bacteria in the natural

---

**Figure 2. Overview of Borrelia infection and Lyme disease in humans.** Schematic representation of the infection process (in black text) and common associated disease manifestations (in red text) in Lyme borreliosis. For detailed descriptions of Lyme disease clinical manifestations, stages, and associations with different Lyme spirochete species, we refer the reader to chapter 24 by Radolf et al. in this volume and to Steere, A.C. (2001) “Lyme Disease” N Engl J Med; 345:115-125. Adapted from Coburn, J., Leong, J. and Chaconas, G. (2013). "Illuminating the roles of the Borrelia burgdorferi adhesins" Trends Microbiol 21(8): 372-379, with permission from Elsevier.
tick-vertebrate infection cycle. Some of the gene products and mechanisms involved in invasion, dissemination, tissue colonization, and evasion of the host immune system are addressed in the remainder of this chapter, but other critical aspects (e.g. interactions of *B. burgdorferi* with the tick vector, regulation of gene expression, motility and chemotaxis, and immune responses) are covered in more detail elsewhere in this book. Emerging themes regarding the pathogenesis of Lyme disease include (i) *B. burgdorferi* encodes several multi-function proteins, (ii) *B. burgdorferi* encodes several distinct proteins that may inhibit host complement activity at different stages of the cascade, (iii) immune responses to *B. burgdorferi* are not only responsible for controlling bacterial numbers, but also for disease manifestations, and (iv) *B. burgdorferi* encodes multiple adhesins that play distinct roles in the infection process.

**Overview of methods used to identify and evaluate candidate virulence determinants in *Borrelia***

**Evaluation of the roles of candidate virulence determinants in model hosts**

While the significance of candidate virulence determinants in many bacterial pathogens can be determined using cell culture and animal models, in the case of *B. burgdorferi*, ticks also must be considered. Each model type can be informative in different ways. Cell culture models can be informative regarding specific and detailed mechanisms of how the bacteria interact with different host cell types, and how individual host cell types respond to the spirochete. The strengths of cell culture models include lower cost and potential for higher throughput, but only in animals can virulence determinants be evaluated in the context of tissue architecture and host responses. Laboratory mice (usually *Mus musculus*) are the favored model host because of the relatively low cost and the abundance of mutant mice and mouse-specific reagents to facilitate evaluation of damage and pathology. However, a significant caveat to the use of mice is that they are not humans, nor even primates, and so are fundamentally different in terms of the host cells and molecules that are relevant in *Borrelia* infections.

A second significant caveat is the possibility of off-target effects in mutant mouse lines that are not carefully and completely characterized. The primate (Rhesus macaque, *Macaca mulatta*) model is more reflective of human disease, but more challenging due to cost, availability of appropriate facilities and expertise, and the lack of genetic tractability. We refer the reader to the chapters in this volume covering animal models (chapter 15), host response (chapter 18), and veterinary Lyme disease (chapter 20) for in-depth information. The development of the dialysis membrane chamber implant model allowed, for the first time, harvest of sufficient numbers of *B. burgdorferi* cells for further studies on gene expression and protein production while the bacteria are in a host animal (Akins et al., 1998). Tick work requires available hosts for feeding and therefore progression of the ticks through their life stages, and appropriate containment, but is now performed by many laboratories. For further detail on the ticks and the tick phase of the *B. burgdorferi* life cycle, we refer the reader to (chapters 11 by Pal et al. and 12 by Sonenshine and Simo).

**Genetic approaches used to identify virulence determinants of *B. burgdorferi***

Genome-wide analysis of the *B. burgdorferi* genes that are expressed during mammalian infection was historically challenging because the spirochete loads in blood and tissues are too low to allow use of older technologies. This obstacle has been partially overcome through technological advances, and the transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of *B. burgdorferi* grown under *in vitro* conditions that mimic certain aspects of the tick and mouse environments (Carroll et al., 1999; Revel et al., 2002; Brooks et al., 2003; Ojaimi et al., 2003; Seshu et al., 2004; Tokarz et al., 2004; Hyde et al., 2007; Angel et al., 2010) and mammalian host adapted spirochetes grown in dialysis membrane chambers implanted in the rat peritoneal cavity (Akins et al., 1998; Revel et al., 2002; Brooks et al., 2003; Caimano, 2005; Iyer et al., 2015). More recently, advances in the development of genetic tools for *B. burgdorferi* (see chapter 16 by Rosa and Jewett) and in new technologies have contributed significantly to understanding the importance of particular *B. burgdorferi* genes and likely, their products, during infection.

**In Vivo Expression Technology (IVET)**

*In Vivo Expression Technology is a gene discovery method that allows for identification of transcriptionally active regions of a microbial genome when the microorganism is in an environment of interest and does not require isolation of microbial RNA (Mahan et al., 1993a; Mahan et al., 1993b). IVET has been applied to *B. burgdorferi* to identify DNA sequences that function as active promoters during murine infection (Ellis et al., 2013; Casselli and Bankhead, 2015). The details of the molecular
Signature tagged mutagenesis (STM)
Signature tagged mutagenesis is a transposon-based approach to the generation of mutations on a genomic scale. The transposon (Tn) incorporates short unique DNA sequences, or "signature tags", to help discriminate between genetically identical "siblings" arising from the transformation vs. truly independent transposon insertion mutants. The library of Tn mutants can then be challenged in a number of ways to identify mutants that do not survive the challenge, i.e. mutants that were present in the starting pool but missing after the selection. In a tour de force effort, a large Tn library was generated in an infectious *B. burgdorferi* strain (Botkin et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2012), and used to infect mice. While some mutants were recovered at frequencies reflective of the starting pool, many were recovered at much lower frequencies or not at all. This latter group was considered attenuated. Sequencing of the Tn insertion sites in the clones in the latter group identified candidate virulence determinant-encoding genes at a scale that was unprecedented in the field. Some of these genes had already been shown to encode virulence determinants, validating the approach, but many other genes identified using these strategies opened new avenues of investigation for multiple research groups.

Transposon sequencing (Tn-seq)
The signature tagged mutagenesis library also has been used in transposon sequencing protocols (Tn-seq) to identify the fitness of Insertional mutants in the STM library under specific conditions. Here, the STM library is placed under a specific selection pressure and the frequency of mutants before and after the selection pressure is compared using quantitative massively parallel sequencing to identify the location of the transposon insertion. This technique has been used to identify genes involved in carbon utilization, evasion of reactive oxygen species, and survival in the tick host (Troy et al., 2013; Troy et al., 2016; Ramsey et al., 2017; Phelan et al., 2019). The technique is highly reproducible when used under *in vitro* conditions due to the large numbers of mutants that can be tested in a single experiment. When using Tn-seq under *in vivo* conditions in a mouse or tick host, “bottle-neck” issues must be considered. Bottlenecks occur when there is stochastic loss of mutants for reasons that are unrelated to the specific transposon insertion. During *in vivo* infections, a large percentage of an inoculum does not survive the initial transition into a new host. Losses in the bottleneck can lead to false discovery of insertions that are not truly related to fitness and survival in the host. Bottleneck issues can be overcome either by studying smaller numbers of mutants or by increasing the sample size (Chao et al., 2016). One of the major advantages of Tn-seq is that it allows the potential for unbiased identification of possible function encoded by genes with unknown function.

Biochemical approaches
Characterization of the function of a protein or other molecule often requires thorough analyses at the biochemical level. For example, adhesins would have to be localized on the bacterial surface to interact with host substrates, and proof of their localization in or on the *Borrelia* cell has been approached in multiple ways. Since *Borrelia* species are diderm (i.e., have outer and inner membranes with a periplasmic space in between), multiple fractionation approaches have been tested (Magnarelli et al., 1989; Bledsoe et al., 1994; Radolf et al., 1995; Jacobs et al., 2005; Nowalk et al., 2006b), although the results have at times been controversial as spirochete components may fractionate incorrectly using protocols developed for Gram-negative enteric organisms. An initial approach is evaluation of whether a secretion signal peptide (Emr et al., 1980a; Emr et al., 1980b; Hall et al., 1980; Beckwith and Silhavy, 1983) is located at the amino terminal end of a predicted protein sequence, although some properties of spirochete lipoprotein secretion signals differ from those found in *E. coli* (Setubal et al., 2006). Even if there is a signal peptide, the protein is not necessarily localized to the surface in diderm bacteria, so additional biochemical approaches are warranted. Interestingly, it appears that lipoproteins encoded by *B. burgdorferi* are, by default, localized to the outer membrane (Schulze and Zuckert, 2006; Dowdell et al., 2017), but experimental proof of surface localization always is required for any putative surface-exposed protein. One approach is determination of whether the protein is accessible using a protein-specific antibody, with detection of the antibody using a secondary antibody conjugated to a fluorochrome and visualization by fluorescence microscopy. In the case of *Borrelia*, a control
antibody directed against a periplasmic component, e.g. flagellin, is required to demonstrate that the outer membrane is intact (e.g. (Kraiczy et al., 2001b; Hefty et al., 2002; Kenedy et al., 2014)). A variation on this technique, designed to help protect the integrity of the outer membrane, is probing with antibodies after suspension of individual bacteria in agarose gel microdroplets (Cox et al., 1996). A second method employs protease (e.g. proteinase K, trypsin) digestion of intact Borrelia cells, followed by gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting to determine whether intact protein or fragments of the protein are present after protease treatment (e.g. (Bunikis et al., 2001; Zuckert et al., 2004; Revel et al., 2005; Jewett et al., 2007a)). Again, controls for the integrity of the outer membrane are essential. For both immunofluorescence and surface proteolysis approaches, a control used frequently in the Borrelia field is flagellin, as the flagella in Borrelia are in the periplasmic space, and not accessible to either antibody or protease if the outer membrane is intact (reviewed in Rosa, 1997; Charon et al., 2012; Zuckert, 2019).

Determination of binding affinities (K_Ds) is another approach used to assess the potential physiologic relevance of interactions of Borrelia proteins with DNA or RNA (e.g. regulators or gene expression) or with mammalian substrates (e.g. adhesins). What is considered high vs. low affinity binding is, in part, determined on the basis of physiologically relevant concentrations of the interacting molecules. K_D values for B. burgdorferi adhesin-ligand interactions vary considerably (nM to µM ranges) (Brissette et al., 2009a; Fortune et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015; Ristow et al., 2015), but even lower affinity interactions may still be physiologically relevant, as bacterial cell interactions with host cells or extracellular matrix components tend to be multivalent. While the in vitro determination of the cellular locations and the ligand specificities and affinities of adhesins is of value, the ultimate measure of relevance is the assessment of adhesin expression and function in the infection cycle, using mutational analysis and other approaches.

**Imaging of Borrelia burgdorferi in murine hosts**

In this section, we provide a very brief overview of live imaging approaches for investigating B. burgdorferi-host interactions. More in-depth information is provided elsewhere in this volume (chapter 17 by Chaconas et al.).

In vivo whole animal imaging of B. burgdorferi in living mice has illuminated gene expression patterns and roles of several Borrelia proteins in infection (Hyde et al., 2011; Skare et al., 2016). In this biophotonic imaging approach, B. burgdorferi strains that do or do not produce a protein of interest are transformed with a Borrelia-codon optimized luciferase gene (Blevins et al., 2007), then injected into mice. A major advantage of this approach is that the Borrelia can be followed over time using the same mice for repeated measurements. Detection requires injection of luciferin, which, upon oxidation by luciferase, emits light. Quantification of the light emitted can be correlated with other methods of Borrelia quantification (e.g., quantitative PCR). Beyond the whole animal approach, Borrelia burdens in tissues/organisms also can be quantified after euthanasia of the mice.

Two photon microscopy has been used in the Borrelia field to image the bacteria the feeding tick, and host skin tissue components in living mice (Harman et al., 2012; Bockenstedt et al., 2014). This method takes advantage of near-infrared excitation of fluorescent molecules, which results in reduced scattering of light in mammalian tissues, providing a stronger signal to noise ratio and greater depth of imaging in tissues than is possible with confocal imaging. In addition, second harmonic generation resulting from absorption of photons by certain tissue components allows imaging of Borrelia movement in the context of mammalian tissue in real time.

Spinning disc confocal microscopy provides high-resolution live imaging of living, moving, targets of interest. In the world of bacterial pathogenesis, this approach was first used to image Borrelia interactions with the vasculature in living mice (Moriarty et al., 2008). The high resolution images are collected with background fluorescence removed due to filtration of the excitation and emission light through tiny pinholes in a rapidly spinning disc. This increases resolution, particularly for moving targets such as Borrelia and living mouse tissue, because of the high image acquisition rate and the fact that light outside the plane of focus is deflected by the disc unless it passes precisely through the pinholes. Several different types of interactions were discerned, and roles for particular B. burgdorferi proteins in each of these types of interactions have been defined (Moriarty et al., 2008; Norman et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2015).
Genes and Proteins involved in infection and pathogenesis

Adaptation to the mammalian host

When an Ixodes vector tick begins feeding on a mammalian host, there is a dramatic alteration in the tick midgut environment (see chapter 11 by Pal et al.). The blood meal and proximity to the mammal results in changes in temperature, pH, availability of nutrients, and exposure to antibodies, complement and other host protective factors. In response to changing environmental conditions, B. burgdorferi replicates rapidly in the midgut during and following tick feeding, with the number of Borelia increasing from <500 prior to feeding to about 1.7 x 10^5 per tick after 72 hours of feeding, a >300-fold increase (De Silva and Fikrig, 1995). Along with this population expansion, B. burgdorferi undergoes dramatic changes in gene expression, primarily but not exclusively via the Rrp2-RpoN-RpoS regulatory cascade (Table 1 and chapter 5 by Samuels et al.).

Some of these changes are reflected at the mRNA level in vitro with changes in culture temperature and pH, as well as incubation in dialysis membrane chambers or in the presence of blood. These in vitro environmental changes have been used as models to study gene regulation during the tick to mammal transition, and in part reflect the tick to mammal transition, with upregulation of mammalian infection-associated surface proteins such as OspC, DbpA, DbpB via the Rrp2-RpoN-RpoS sigma factor pathway. However, changes in the in vitro culture conditions do not affect expression of other genes, including p66, or result in downregulation of tick-related gene products OspA and OspB.

Survival in the mammalian host: Nutrient acquisition and metabolism

Once the bacteria have been introduced into the dermis of a mammalian host, they must replicate and disseminate in order to facilitate the tick-vertebrate-tick cycle that maintains the genus in nature. The biosynthetic capabilities of Lyme disease Borelia are limited, so the bacteria require complex media for growth in the laboratory, and are dependent on acquisition of multiple nutrient classes from the host.

Uptake of nutrients by cytoplasmic membrane transporters requires that the nutrients permeate through the outer membrane, likely through porins. Although the in vivo functional roles of B. burgdorferi porins have not been addressed experimentally, indirect data suggest that the porin, or some other function, of the adhesin/porin P66 may be required in vivo (Ristow et al., 2015). BB0406, which like P66 potentially may serve as a porin and an adhesin, facilitates B. burgdorferi infection (Shrestha et al., 2017; Bista et al., 2020). Several nutrient salvage proteins required for survival in mammalian tissue have been identified (Table 1). PncA, a nicotinamidase that converts nicotinamide (niacin) to nicotinic acid, is needed to provide a precursor for biosynthesis of NAD (Purser et al., 2003). AdeC, an adenine deaminase, which converts adenine to hypoxanthine and, thus, facilitates purine interconversion, and GuaA and GuaB, GMP synthase and IMP dehydrogenase, respectively, are enzymes involved in nucleotide metabolism and are required for infection of mice (Jewett et al., 2007b; Jewett et al., 2009). BBB22 and BBB23 are purine permeases critical for uptake of hypoxanthine, adenine and guanine and also are essential for B. burgdorferi infection of mice (Jain et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2015). OPPAV, an oligopeptide permease component of the oligopeptide uptake system, is critical for B. burgdorferi persistence in the mammalian host (Caimano et al., 2019). BB0318, the ATPase component of a putative riboflavin ABC transport system (Deka et al., 2013), contributes to resistance to oxidative stress and macrophage killing as well as infection in mice (Showman et al., 2016), suggesting an important link between B. burgdorferi nutrient acquisition and immune evasion mechanisms. The genes encoding the majority of these proteins are located on genomic plasmids, reinforcing the essential roles of these replicons in the enzootic cycle. The chromosomally-encoded putative glucose specific EIIBC component of a phosphoenolpyruvate phosphotransferase system PtsG is critical for mouse infection (Lin et al., 2012; Khajanchi et al., 2015). The manganese transporter BmtA is also required for infection of both mice and ticks (Ouyang et al., 2009a). It also is possible that the lipolytic/hemolytic enzyme BB0646 (Shaw et al., 2012) serves a nutrient scavenging function as opposed to an intoxication function, as the pathologies seen in Lyme disease are not consistent with those seen in toxin-mediated diseases. Given that many genes in B. burgdorferi have not yet been assigned a role or function, it is likely that additional factors and their activities remain to be identified in vivo. If, however, their functions also are required for in vitro cultivation, they would not be covered in Tn mutant libraries, as the mutants would be lost from the population.
Survival in the mammalian host: Evasion of innate immune responses

*B. burgdorferi* components are recognized by multiple members of the toll like receptor (TLR) family of receptors and by Nod-like receptors (NLRs). More extensive descriptions of the functions of these receptors can be found in chapter 18 by Bockenstedt et al. Upon encountering their cognate ligands, TLRs and NLRs activate signaling pathways that lead to the release of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Depending upon the host, the initial response typically leads to recruitment of cells of the innate immune system (neutrophils, T cells) that have specific capabilities for killing the organism. *B. burgdorferi* has evolved mechanisms for evading these host defenses as detailed below and elsewhere in this volume.

Most pathogenic microorganisms have developed mechanisms for evading the innate and adaptive immune responses, permitting their survival and proliferation in a hostile host environment (reviewed in e.g. (van der Woude and Baumler, 2004; Norris, 2006; Vink et al., 2012; Norris, 2014; Foley, 2015; Knirel et al., 2015; Obergfell and Seifert, 2015; Phillips et al., 2019; Chaconas et al., 2020)). Immune evasion mechanisms are particularly important in pathogens that cause persistent disseminated infection. These infectious agents include Lyme disease and relapsing fever *Borrelia, T. pallidum* (syphilis and related illnesses), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *M. leprae* (tuberculosis and leprosy), protozoa such as *Plasmodium* and *Babesia spp.* (malaria and related diseases), *Leishmania spp.* (leishmaniasis), and *Trypanosoma* (sleeping sickness and Chagas disease), the Herpesvirus family (Herpes Virus I and II, varicella zoster virus, Epstein-Barr virus, and others), and Human Immunodeficiency Virus. Mechanisms of immune evasion are myriad, and several are key contributors to the success of the Lyme disease *Borrelia* as pathogens in humans and in the natural enzootic cycle.

*Borrelia Resistance to Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and Reactive Nitrogen Species (RNS)*

As a way to neutralize infectious agents, hosts utilize a battery of innate and adaptive immune systems to protect themselves. The great majority of infectious agents that cause infection in immunocompetent hosts are equipped, at least to some extent, to circumvent these host responses through a variety of mechanisms. For an extracellular parasite and pathogen like *B. burgdorferi*, the ability to neutralize innate killing mechanisms is critical. One such protective system that an infected host deploys to protect against infection, be it an arthropod or a mammal, is the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or reactive nitrogen species (RNS). Unlike many bacteria, *B. burgdorferi* does not encode a large collection of obvious detoxification enzymes to combat ROS or RNS, but independent groups have demonstrated roles for DNA repair enzymes, a ribonuclease, and transport proteins in resistance to ROS and RNS (Bourret et al., 2011; Bourret et al., 2016; Showman et al., 2016; Ramsey et al., 2017). Consistent with these findings, *B. burgdorferi* infection of mice in which either nitric oxide (NO) production was inhibited or inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) was missing had no effect on the disease process, suggesting that the spirochetes have a robust way of combating this potent form of host defense (Seiler et al., 1995; Brown and Reiner, 1999). Other important factors include the role of tick saliva-specific factors that provide antioxidants and other immune modulatory compounds that promote the establishment of *B. burgdorferi* colonization (Ribeiro et al., 1990; Kuthejlova et al., 2001; Narasimhan et al., 2007). Please note that these topics are covered in more depth in chapters 18 by Bockenstedt et al. and 11 by Pal et al. in this volume.

*B. burgdorferi* responds differently to oxidative stress relative to most living systems due, in part, to an apparent lack of any requirement for iron (Fe) (Posey and Gherardini, 2000), at least in laboratory culture and as assessed using methodology available at the time. To date, no enzymes have been identified that require Fe as a cofactor. *B. burgdorferi* uses manganese as a cofactor instead of the iron used by other bacteria (Ouyang et al., 2009a; Troxell et al., 2012; Aguirre et al., 2013; Troxell and Yang, 2013; Troxell et al., 2013; Wagh et al., 2015) and chapter 6 by Gherardini et al.). The lack of Fe places *B. burgdorferi* at an advantage relative to other Fe-dependent pathogens (i.e. most) for two reasons. First, DNA damage mediated via Fenton-based chemistry is not likely to occur, particularly following exposure to ROS. Prior studies support this contention given that DNA damage was not detected upon the addition of ROS (Boylan and Gherardini, 2008; Boylan et al., 2008), although as stated above, DNA repair enzymes are important for survival of ROS and RNS challenge. Second, a defense strategy termed nutritional immunity, in which particular nutrients (e.g. Fe) are tightly sequestered by the host, is largely irrelevant to *B. burgdorferi* with regard to Fe.
*B. burgdorferi* do not synthesize their own fatty acids and thus are dependent on the host they infect to provide these essential lipid components (Fraser et al., 1997; Casjens et al., 2000). The incorporation of polyunsaturated fatty acids and lipids from hosts they infect into *B. burgdorferi* lipids (Crowley et al., 2013) places these structures at risk of oxidative damage and the toxicity that occurs as a result. Previous studies demonstrated that the oxidation of lipids occurs when *B. burgdorferi* is exposed to ROS under conditions in which DNA remains recalcitrant to oxidative damage (Boylan and Gherardini, 2008; Boylan et al., 2008). During the blood meal, where tick respiration may generate ROS, or under conditions where the host immune response is elevated, represent times that polyunsaturated lipids would place *B. burgdorferi* at risk of oxidative damage and/or death. As such, it behooves *B. burgdorferi* to neutralize this attack. Exactly how *B. burgdorferi* quells lipid oxidation and the deleterious effects that ensue is not known.

The collection of detoxification enzymes that *B. burgdorferi* encodes would appear to be incomplete based on those found in other pathogenic bacteria. For example, no catalases or peroxidases are encoded in the *B. burgdorferi* genome. A single manganese (Mn)-dependent superoxide dismutase (*sodA*), a Mn transporter (*bmtA*), and a riboflavin transporter (*bb0318*), all contribute to resistance to added ROS *in vitro* and *B. burgdorferi* infectivity in mice (Esteve-Gassent et al., 2009; Ouyang et al., 2009a; Showman et al., 2016). A *napA* homologue (also designated *bicA* or *dps*) plays a role in metal homeostasis with distinct roles presented in different studies (Li et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2012). A more recent report suggested that mutants in *napA/bicA* were more resistant to added oxidizing compounds when metals were added, particularly Fe and Cu, due to the ability of NapA/BicA/Dps to trap these metals and serve as a sink for them within the spirochete (Wang et al., 2012). The latter study, which used a more refined approach to measuring metal contents of *B. burgdorferi* than that used earlier (Posey and Gherardini, 2000), posited that the sequestered metals contribute to damage when ROS is encountered. Consistent with this, *bicA* mutants, which take up less Fe and Cu, were more resistant to H₂O₂ than their wild-type isogenic parent (Wang et al., 2012).

Other genes whose products are predicted to be associated with resistance to oxidative stress include thioredoxin, thioredoxin reductase, and sulfoxide reductase, although none of these have been functionally characterized. The genome predicts no glutathione or glutathione reductase equivalents to provide redox balance or resistance to oxidizing compounds. However, coenzyme A, in concert with coenzyme A disulphide reductase (denoted as Cdr and encoded by *bb0728*), reduces hydrogen peroxide and thus protects *B. burgdorferi* from damaging ROS (Boylan et al., 2006). These studies also determined that reduced coenzyme A was present in high concentrations within *B. burgdorferi* cells and that Cdr was specific for the thiol-containing coenzyme A, with coenzyme A serving as a functional analogue to glutathione in *B. burgdorferi* to maintain homeostatic redox balance within the cytoplasm of the spirochete.

In contrast to the effect of oxidative exposure, the consequence of nitrosative stress, due to NO exposure, results in significant damage to DNA that then requires nucleoside excision repair genes *uvrB* and *uvrC* to repair the lesions that accrue (Bourret et al., 2011; Bourret et al., 2016). The production of NO is as likely in the tick vector as it is in mammals (*via* iNOS) given the presence of *Ixodes*-specific nitric oxide synthase and nitrophorins. Further, the production of NO also contributes to vasodilation that seemingly benefits the blood meal process (Yang et al., 2014).

With the goal of identifying novel *B. burgdorferi* proteins involved in resistance to ROS and RNS, an unbiased screen was employed using the existing transposon library (Lin et al., 2012) coupled with Tn-seq analysis (Ramsey et al., 2017). From this analysis, 66 genes were identified that contributed to RNS and ROS resistance. Notably, several genes already known to be important for resistance to ROS and RNS were observed in this study. For example, it is known that nucleotide excision repair, mediated by *B. burgdorferi* *uvrB* and *uvrC*, is needed in response to RNS (Bourret et al., 2011; Bourret et al., 2016), and Tn mutants in *uvrC* and *uvrD* were disproportionally lower in abundance in the Tn-seq data following *B. burgdorferi* exposure to RNS (Ramsey et al., 2017). In addition, several genes of unknown function were identified in the RNS as well as the ROS screens (Ramsey et al., 2017). Two distinct ROS compounds were used, hydrogen peroxide and tertiary-butyl hydroperoxide, with several targets overlapping between these samples (Ramsey et al., 2017). In addition, unique targets were found for both reagents, suggesting that *B. burgdorferi* produces proteins with
unique mechanisms that specifically combat either hydrogen peroxide or alkyl peroxides.

Several of the novel targets identified encode transmembrane protein transporters, most of which have not been characterized in any context (Ramsey et al., 2017). One of these proteins, designated BB0164, appears to transport Mn\textsuperscript{2+} similar to BmTA (Ouyang et al., 2009a; Ramsey et al., 2017). The need to accumulate diveral cations, particularly Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, is also seen in *Lactobacillus* species, which, similar to *B. burgdorferi*, also lack many detoxification enzymes (Archibald and Fridovich, 1981). Prior work suggests that Mn, in complex with other molecules, forms antioxidant compounds that scavenge ROS that protect the cell from damage (Archibald and Fridovich, 1981). It is also noteworthy that the majority of the genes identified in the initial *in vitro* RNS and ROS screen were also required for experimental infection, suggesting that the proteins they encode are important in the pathogenic capabilities of *B. burgdorferi* (Ramsey et al., 2017). Along these lines, another independent screen designed to track genes essential for survival in the tick identified several genes that were also seen in the RNS/ROS screen, implying that *B. burgdorferi* must negate RNS and ROS exposure in the tick to maintain its ability to survive in order to ultimately transmit to mammalian hosts (Ramsey et al., 2017; Phelan et al., 2019).

**Complement evasion by Lyme disease *Borrelia***

The complement system is a primary arm of the innate immune system comprised of dozens of soluble and membrane-attached host proteins (Figure 3). Complement activation is initiated by surface-associated molecular recognition events that trigger a proteolytic cascade mediated by the action of specialized serine proteases. Complement activation occurs through one of three canonical pathways known as classical, lectin, or alternative (Figure 3). Regardless of the initiating pathway, complement activation (i) leads to the recruitment of professional phagocyes via release of powerful chemotactic peptides (*i.e.*, C3a and C5a), (ii) opsonizes surfaces near the site of activation *via* various complement component fragments (*i.e.*, C4b, C3b, IC3b, C3dg, and C3d), and (iii) results in the formation of a pore-like structure, the membrane attack complex (MAC or C5b-9), that integrates into target membranes, leading to lysis of the invading pathogen. Vector-transmitted microorganisms like *Borrelia* that traffic in fluids where complement proteins are present at high concentrations (*i.e.*, blood, interstitial fluids, etc.) must overcome destruction by complement.

Lyme disease spirochetes have evolved an arsenal of outer surface proteins with demonstrated anti-complement function *in vivo* (Figure 4 and Table 1). We also refer the reader to three recent reviews of Lyme disease *Borrelia* interactions with the host complement system (Lin et al., 2020a; Lin et al., 2020b; Skare and Garcia, 2020). Each of these *Borrelia* outer surface proteins exerts its inhibitory activity at proximal (*i.e.* initiation pathways), central (*i.e.* C3b amplification loop), or distal (*i.e.* terminal sequence) positions of the cascade. Complement evasion mechanisms associated with *Borrelia* outer surface proteins can be broadly characterized by one of three activities: (i) proteins that recruit endogenous complement regulators (CspA, CspZ, ErpP, ErpC, ErpA), (ii) proteins that recruit endogenous proteases and target their proteolytic activities towards complement protein substrates (CspA, CspZ, ErpA, ErpC, ErpP, BBA70), or (iii) proteins that inhibit the activity of a complement protein by directly binding to it (BBK32, BAD16, OspC, BGA66, BGA71). The collective activity of these proteins affords *Borrelia* one of the most diverse and functionally overlapping complement evasion repertoires known.

Interestingly, Lyme disease spirochetes vary in their susceptibility to killing by nonimmune human and various mammalian sera *in vitro*, indicating a differential ability of these spirochetes to prevent MAC formation (reviewed by Kurtenbach et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2020a; Lin et al., 2020b). All human-pathogenic species including *B. burgdorferi*, *B. afzelii*, *B. spielmanii*, *B. bavariensis* (formerly classified as *B. garinii* OspA serotype 4), and *B. mayonii*, as well as *B. japonica* and *B. bissettiae*, resist complement-mediated killing *in vitro* (reviewed by (Kraiczy, 2016b, a; Walter et al., 2019). Although pathogenic to humans, *B. garinii* predominantly displays a susceptible phenotype *in vitro* like the non-pathogenic genospecies *B. valaisiana* and *B. lusitaniae*. How *B. garinii* overcomes the cidal activity of human complement remains unresolved, but one possibility is that protein(s) critical for complement evasion are produced *in vivo* but not *in vitro*. In addition, recruitment of host-derived (plasminogen) or tick-derived proteins during transmission (Tick Salivary Lectin Pathway Inhibitor, TSLPI; Salp15, Salp20) (Schuitt et al., 2008; Schuitt et al., 2011) or specific pathogen-associated factors may protect this particular genospecies from complement attack during natural infection. More recently, complement resistance has
been linked to alleles from distinct *Borrelia* species. The best example is CspA. CspA from *B. burgdorferi* and *B. afzelii* confers resistance to mammalian complement, whereas CspA from *B. garinii* provides resistance to avian serum (Hart et al., 2018).

*Borrelia* factors affecting activation of the classical and lectin pathways

The classical and lectin pathways of complement are activated by pattern recognition molecules capable of distinguishing specific molecular structures on the surfaces of microbes, including *Borrelia*. The classical pathway is controlled by the action of a multi-protein complex known as the first component of complement, C1. C1 consists of the pattern recognition subcomponent, C1q, in complex with a heterotetramer of two serine proteases (C1r,C1s). Following binding of C1q to activating ligands such as IgG and IgM immune complexes, C1r is converted from a zymogen form into an active protease where it then cleaves and activates the C1s zymogen. The classical pathway is, therefore, linked to the adaptive immune response, as specific antibodies are developed in response to a pathogen. Likewise, the lectin pathway is triggered by the pattern recognition molecules mannose-binding lectin (MBL), ficolins, or collectins, which recognize foreign carbohydrates resulting in activation of the MBL-associated proteases (MASPs). Both C1s and MASP-2 cleave C4 and C2 and, thus, the classical and lectin pathways of complement intersect at the formation of the C3 convertases (i.e. C4bC2b).
Borrelia burgdorferi subversion of the complement system. To evade complement, Lyme disease spirochetes produce outer surface lipoproteins that interfere with host complement by inhibiting complement activity directly or binding to host-derived regulators of complement activity (RCA). Several Borrelia inhibitors block the upstream initiation steps, including the CP-specific inhibitor BBK32, which binds to C1r and traps C1 in a zymogen state. OspC binds C4b and interferes with the activation of both the CP and LP. Lyme disease spirochetes also produce p43, which downregulates the CP and LP by recruiting the RCA called C4b-binding protein (C4BP). CspA and CspZ bind the negative regulators of the AP known as factor H (FH) and factor H-like protein 1 (FHL-1). ErpA and ErpP also bind to FH, but not to FHL-1. Borrelia produce at least four proteins that block the formation of the MAC complex. CspA binds C7 and C9 in a FH-independent manner and blocks C9 polymerization. Two homologous proteins from B. bavariensis, BGA66 and BGA71 also block C9 polymerization by binding to C7, C8, and C9. Finally, a surface protein that exhibits activity comparable to CD59 (i.e., CD59-like) was investigated, but the gene encoding this protein has not been identified. Proteins known to affect experimental infection by B. burgdorferi are outlined in blue, those that are important in transmission from Ixodes ticks are framed in green, and those whose functions in vivo are not defined are shown in red. PAMP: pathogen-associated molecular pattern; Ab: antibody; Ag: antigen; CL-11: collectin-11. This figure is reproduced from Skare J.T. and Garcia B.L. (2020) “Complement Evasion by Lyme Disease Spirochetes” Trends Microbiol. S0966-842X(20)30129-3. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.004 with permission conveyed through Elsevier and Copyright Clearance Center.
Relatively few examples of pathogen-derived molecules with specific activity against the upstream activation steps of the classical and lectin pathways have been described. Lyme disease *Borrelia* spirochetes are outliers in this regard, however, as they target both pathways through two unrelated outer surface proteins, OspC and Bbk32. OspC was shown to bind C4b and prevent formation of the classical/lectin pathway C3 proconvertase by blocking binding of C2 (Caine et al., 2017). In this manner, OspC inhibits both pathways simultaneously. Consistent with a role in complement evasion, an isogenic *ospC* mutant of *B. burgdorferi* strain B31-A3 (i.e. B31-A3 Δ*ospC*) exhibited reduced survival in the blood of intravenously (i.v.) inoculated mice by 30 minutes post-inoculation relative to wild-type. B31-A3 derived strains that constitutively produced OspC from B31-A3 or N40 D10/E9 showed prolonged survival. Yet to be determined is whether this complement-inhibiting activity of OspC is mechanistically tied to its antiphagocytic activity (Carrasco et al., 2015). OspC from *B. garinii* PBr also was protective in this system albeit to a lesser extent than OspCs of B31-A3 and N40 (Caine et al., 2017).

BBK32 is a multi-functional *B. burgdorferi* outer surface protein with roles in adhesion to fibronectin (Fn) and glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) conferred by distinct domains of the protein (Lin et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2019) (see adhesin section below). More recently, BBK32 was shown to form high-affinity interactions with the human complement C1 complex by binding specifically to the C1r protease subcomponent, thereby inhibiting the classical complement pathway. The C1r-binding activity of BBK32 is mediated by a third domain of the protein distinct from those that mediate binding to GAGs and fibronectin (Garcia et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019). Evidence that BBK32 promotes bloodstream survival of *B. burgdorferi* in mice was reported independently (Caine and Coburn, 2015), supporting the *in vivo* relevance of its complement-inhibiting activity. Using surface plasmon resonance binding assays and human serum-based assays of complement function, it was shown that the C-terminal region of BBK32 (i.e. BBK32-C) is responsible for all BBK32-mediated C1/C1r interaction and anti-complement activities (Garcia et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019). Thus, BBK32 possesses a modular architecture whereby its interactions with glycosaminoglycans, fibronectin, and C1r are mediated by non-overlapping binding sites. Strengthening the case that BBK32 binds C1, a serum-sensitive, high passage strain of *B. burgdorferi* missing linear plasmid-encoded outer surface proteins, including BBK32 (i.e., strain B314), was protected from classical pathway-mediated lysis when transformed with a shuttle vector containing *bbk32* (Garcia et al., 2016). However, an isogenic *bbk32* mutant in an otherwise infectious strain of *B. burgdorferi* was serum-resistant, pointing to additional factors (e.g., OspC) responsible for evasion of complement by Lyme disease spirochetes.

The complement inhibitory activities of BBK32 homologs in the Lyme spirochetes *B. afzelii* (i.e., BAD16) and *B. garinii* (i.e., BGD19) also were evaluated (Xie et al., 2019). While BAD16 exhibited similar properties to BBK32 *in vitro*, recombinant *B. garinii* BGD19 failed to inhibit the classical pathway. BGD19 also did not inhibit the classical pathway when expressed on the surface of *B. burgdorferi* B314. The three-dimensional structure of the complement inhibitory region of BBK32, BBK32-C, was solved by x-ray crystallography, and the binding site on C1r was mapped to the serine protease domain (Xie et al., 2019). A recombinant BBK32 chimeric protein with site-directed changes designed to mimic BDG19 at three surface-exposed residues exhibited BGD19-like activities in all assays, indicating that one or more of these residues in native BBK32 contributes to complement inhibition.

*Borrelia* factors affecting activation of the alternative pathway

Unlike the lectin and classical pathways, which rely on pattern recognition molecules to initiate the cascade, alternative pathway complement activation occurs by a mechanism known as tick-over. Tick-over is a process whereby spontaneously hydrolyzed C3 forms fluid phase C3 convertases of the form C3(H2O)Bb, leading to surface-bound alternative pathway C3 convertases (C3bBb). The main negative regulators of C3 convertase formation by the alternative pathway are Factor H (FH) and FH-like protein (FHL-1) (Zipfel and Skerka, 2009). FH and FHL-1 bind directly to C3b and stimulate the release of the protease fragment (i.e. complement component Bb) through a process known as decay accelerating activity. Additionally, FH and FHL-1 each act as cofactors for factor-I mediated degradation of C3b. These inhibitory activities protect self surfaces from excessive activation and harmful attack by activated effector molecules (Zipfel and Skerka, 2009).

*Borrelia* produce outer surface proteins collectively called complement regulator-acquiring surface proteins or CRASPs that recruit FH and FHL-1 to the
Borrelia surface, thereby hijacking their anti-complement activities. CRASPs are members of at least two surface protein families and bind different proteins of the FH protein family (see above) (Kraiczy et al., 2001b; Kraiczy et al., 2001c). In this model of complement evasion, Borrelia cells usurp the host regulators of the innate immune system by binding FH and FHL-1 via CRASPs, thereby terminating the accumulation of activated C3b molecules on the bacterial cell surface. Resistant spirochetes with less C3b deposited on their surfaces would have decreased opsonization (reducing phagocytosis) and a significantly reduced formation of the complement membrane attack complex (decreasing complement-dependent lysis).

Most data accumulated to date support the importance of CspA (also CRASP-1 and BBA68) and its orthologous proteins as inhibitors of the complement cascades in diverse Borrelia species. Disruption of bba68 (encoding CspA) in the complement resistant B. burgdorferi strain B31CF resulted in sensitivity to killing by human complement, while complementation with the shuttle vector pKFSS-1::CRASP-1 restored resistance in vitro (Brooks et al., 2005). Similarly, transformation of the serum-sensitive B. garinii strain Bg50 with pKFSS-1::CRASP-1 rendered the strain resistant to killing by human complement (Brooks et al., 2005). Further gain-of-function studies revealed that the FH and FHL-1 binding CspA proteins from B. afzelii, B. spielmanii, and B. mayonii also promote complement resistance in a serum sensitive B. garinii background (Hammerschmidt et al., 2014; Walter et al., 2019). RT-PCR and western blot analysis indicated that CspA is not expressed during experimental infection of mice with different North American B. burgdorferi strains, and that human Lyme disease patients do not produce antibodies against CspA (McDowell et al., 2006), bringing into question whether CspA is expressed during mammalian infection. However, a different group found that antibodies from Lyme disease patients react specifically with non-denatured, recombinant CspA in a line blot assay but not with the denatured protein in western blots (Rossmann et al., 2006). Further studies also provided evidence that CspA is expressed by spirochetes 72 hours post infection at the tick bite site but not at later time points (von Lackum et al., 2005; Hart et al., 2018). The collective results support the notion that CspA-mediated binding of FH during the blood meal permits survival of Borrelia in the feeding tick and during transit to the host (Hart et al., 2018). Binding of mouse FH to CspA of B. burgdorferi B31 and B. afzelii PKo allows the bacterium to survive in ticks fed on mice, while the parental Δcspa or a derivative complemented with cspa from B. garinii ZQ1 are not detectable in infected nymphs. These findings suggested that the Borrelia binding to host-derived FH determines survival in fed ticks, a key prerequisite for transmission to the mammalian host.

Another member of the CRASP family, CspZ (CRASP-2, BBH06), binds FH and FHL-1 and also facilitates complement resistance in gain-of-function experiments with non-infectious strains (i.e., B. burgdorferi B313 and B. garinii G1) (Hartmann et al., 2006; Siegel et al., 2008). CspZ has been surface-localized on intact cells by immunofluorescence microscopy and FACS analyses (Hartmann et al., 2006; Marcinkiewicz et al., 2019), and by trypsin digestion (Hartmann et al., 2006; Coleman et al., 2008; Dowdell et al., 2017). In studies conducted with different infectious clones of B. burgdorferi B31 (Bykowski et al., 2007; Coleman et al., 2008), the cspZ gene is expressed by B. burgdorferi in all mouse tissues examined but not in unfed or feeding ticks. However, deletion of the cspZ gene did not affect mouse infectivity or disease, and did not reduce resistance to complement-mediated killing in vitro (Coleman et al., 2008). In contrast, it was recently shown that addition of human blood to the culture medium increased hematogenous dissemination and colonization of wild-type B. burgdorferi strain B31-A3 but not B31-A3 Δcspa in mice and C. coturnix quails (Marcinkiewicz et al., 2019). The addition of blood to the medium increased CspZ levels, suggesting that the pre-induction of CspZ might allow B. burgdorferi survival immediately after injection, whereas those grown conventionally cannot escape clearance by the host.

Three additional surface lipoproteins encoded on cp32 plasmids, BbCRASP-3, BbCRASP-4, and BbCRASP-5 have been shown to bind FH and certain factor H related (FHR) proteins (Kraiczy et al., 2001a; Alitalo et al., 2002; Stevenson et al., 2002; Kraiczy et al., 2003; Metts et al., 2003). In vitro, recombinant versions of these proteins bound FH in ELISA and surface plasmon resonance analyses, but the same proteins exposed on the Borrelia surface did not bind FH and gain-of-function strains remained complement sensitive (Siegel et al., 2010). No specific role for any of these proteins in vivo has been demonstrated, but analyses of these genes are
complicated by the high degree of redundancy in the *B. burgdorferi* genome.

**Borrelia factors affecting activation of the terminal pathway**

Different *Borrelia* factors involved in inactivation of the terminal pathway have been described, including CspA of *B. burgdorferi*, the CspA orthologs of *B. afzelii, B. spielmanii*, and *B. mayonii*, and BGA66 and BGA71 of *B. bavariensis* (Hallstrom et al., 2013; Hammerschmidt et al., 2014; Hammerschmidt et al., 2016; Walter et al., 2019). Common to all of these proteins are: (i) binding to the late complement components C7, C8 or C9, and the terminal complement complex (TCC), (ii) termination of auto-polymerization of C9, (iii) inhibition of the formation of the TCC and (iv) promotion of complement resistance in gain-of-function strains (Hallstrom et al., 2013; Hammerschmidt et al., 2014; Hammerschmidt et al., 2016; Walter et al., 2019). Despite being members of the PFam54 protein family (the largest paralogous gene family in the Lyme disease spirochete genome), which includes CspA, BGA66 and BGA71 did not bind complement regulators FH or FHL-1. To date, their possible roles in a mouse infection model have not been investigated.

**Role of host complement in control of Borrelia infectivity**

The involvement of the classical and lectin pathways in Lyme borreliosis recently has been studied in animal models of infection using complement-deficient mice. The lectin pathway was evaluated in *B. burgdorferi* infection of mannose-binding lectin (MBL) deficient mice (Coumou et al., 2019). An early protective role was shown for MBL as MBL-deficient mice exhibited significantly higher bacterial loads in skin tissues of both needle- and *I. scapularis* infection models (Coumou et al., 2019). However, later infection time points showed similar bacterial loads in heart, joints, and bladder in WT vs. MBL-deficient mice. The role of classical pathway initiation in response to *B. burgdorferi* infection was investigated using C1q deficient mice (Zhi et al., 2018). As measured using bioluminescent *in vivo* imaging, C1q deficient mice harbored higher bacterial loads at 10 days relative to WT mice. Significantly increased bacterial loads also were measured by quantitative PCR in C1q deficient vs. WT mice at day 21 in the skin, joint, and heart but not lymph nodes. At day 28, loads were higher in joints and hearts, but not skin and lymph nodes in C1q-deficient mice. Collectively, these studies suggest that the upstream pattern recognition molecules of the complement system play roles in controlling *B. burgdorferi* infections *in vivo*, although individually, the roles are relatively minor.

The role of the alternative pathway in host response to Lyme borreliosis has been studied using mouse models of disease. No significant differences in *B. burgdorferi* loads could be detected at any time post-infection in mice genetically deficient in complement factor B relative to WT mice (Woodman et al., 2007). In the same study, the use of FH deficient mice demonstrated that FH is not required for *B. burgdorferi* to infect mice. However, a confounder in these studies is that FH-deficient mice have extremely low levels of C3 due to the high rate of spontaneous C3 consumption (Pickering et al., 2002). Infection of C3 deficient mice resulted in significantly increased bacterial loads in the ear tissue during the first two weeks of infection, but not in heart or joint tissue. As C3 activation is central to the complement cascade, C3 deficiency affects all three complement initiation pathways. Thus, while the factor B and FH deficient mouse studies suggested a muted role for the alternative pathway in control of *B. burgdorferi* burden in the murine host, the C3-deficient mouse infection experiments may indicate a role for the classical or lectin pathways, even prior to a strong humoral response (Woodman et al., 2007). Indeed, others have noted a role for C3 in experimental Lyme borreliosis. For example, C3 deficient mice showed generally increased bacterial loads compared to wild-type mice when needle infected with *B. burgdorferi* (Lawrenz et al., 2003). The same study also showed that a complement-sensitive strain of *B. garinii* exhibited a lower median infectious dose in C3 deficient mice compared to wild-type. These results indicate that complement is a potential barrier for *B. garinii* infection in mouse models and that C3 may play an important role in early dissemination of *B. burgdorferi*. In contrast, infections of C3-deficient mice deficient with *B. burgdorferi, B. afzelii, B. bavariensis* did not show significant differences compared to WT mice, with the one exception of increased *B. burgdorferi* loads in joints (van Burgel et al., 2011). In the same study, complement-susceptible strains of *B. burgdorferi* remained noninfectious in C3 deficient mice. Finally, the involvement of the host terminal pathway in borreliosis was evaluated *in vivo* using mice deficient in C5. These studies showed that activation of C5 is not necessary to control murine borreliosis (Bockenstedt et al., 1993). Collectively, these studies suggest that complement regulation does play a modest role in determination of outcome...
in experimental Lyme borreliosis, but that additional *Borrelia* virulence determinants contribute to the host-pathogen standoff of persistent infection.

*Regulation of expression of complement inhibiting factors*  
Several of the genes that encode complement-inhibitory proteins are modulated by both well-characterized and novel regulatory systems, as reviewed in (Kraiczy and Stevenson, 2013). CRASPs have different patterns or expression, but all are produced by *B. burgdorferi* in skin at the tick feeding site, and none in the unfed tick (Kraiczy and Stevenson, 2013). Expression of the multifunctional OspC and BBk32, along with that of many other gene products, is transcriptionally regulated by the RpoS/RpoN/Rrp2 and BosR systems (Hubner et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003; Fisher et al., 2005; Caimano et al., 2007; Ouyang et al., 2008; Hyde et al., 2009; Ouyang et al., 2009b; Samuels, 2011; Caimano et al., 2019). Both *ospC* and *bbk32* are known to be expressed during the blood meal and early in mammalian infection. This regulatory scheme is well studied and is described in greater detail in chapter 5 by Samuels et al.

**Evasion of Adaptive Immunity: Antigenic Variation of VlsE**  
The vertebrate host mounts a continually expanding (Craft et al., 1986), yet non-protective, response to *B. burgdorferi* during infection, indicating that immune evasion is a critical virulence determinant in this organism. Antigenic variation as a means to escape clearance by the adaptive immune system has been noted in multiple bacterial, protozoan, and fungal pathogens (reviewed in (Deitsch et al., 2009)). Antigenic variation was known to occur in the relapsing fever *Borrelia* species (e.g. (Plasterk et al., 1985; Burman et al., 1990; Schwan and Hinnebusch, 1998; Dai et al., 2006)), and accounts for the relapses for which the disease was named. The relapsing fever *Borrelia* antigenic variation entails a gene conversion type of recombination, copying a complete silent gene encoding a variable membrane protein (Vmp), into a single expression site near the telomere of one of the genomic linear plasmids (Barbour et al., 2000). Multiple *vmp* genes, which encode two classes of lipoproteins designated large (Vlp) or small (Vsp), are maintained as silent copies elsewhere in the genome. In most recombination events, a *vlp* or *vsp* gene recombines randomly via upstream and downstream homology sites into the single expression site (Barbour, 1991; Barbour et al., 2000; Dai et al., 2006; Norris, 2006). In some instances, chimeric genes are formed. The number of possible variants is, thus, largely dependent on the number of *vmp* genes in the genome. One particular *vmp* gene, designated *vtp* or *vsp33*, has its own expression site and does not undergo recombination. Vsp33 is required for transmission of spirochetes to a new vertebrate host (Raffel et al., 2014; Schwan et al., 2020).

In 1997, the ground-breaking discovery and description of the *vls* (*vmp*-like sequence) locus (Zhang et al., 1997) brought the Lyme disease *Borrelia* into the group of pathogens able to vary a surface protein. This locus as characterized in *B. burgdorferi* strain B31 consists of a single expression site (*vlsE*) near the telomere of a linear genomic plasmid, lp28-1, plus an adjacent set of 15 silent cassettes in the opposite orientation (*vls2-16*). The silent cassettes have a high degree of sequence similarity (76.9 to 91.4% at the amino acid level) to the central cassette region of the expression site (Figure 5). Although the *vls* system has been detected in all strains and species of Lyme disease *Borrelia* examined, the replicon in which the *vls* locus is contained and the number of silent cassettes vary between isolates (Kawabata et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2001; Iyer et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Norris, 2014; Casjens et al., 2017). Notably, the locus is always near a telomere of a linear plasmid, as is true for the *vmp* system in the relapsing fever *Borrelia*, but in other ways it is quite distinct. *Vls* has been calculated to theoretically generate on the order of $10^{40}$ possible variants in *B. burgdorferi* strain B31 (Verhey et al., 2018), many orders of magnitude greater than *vmp*. In contrast to the *vmp* system, *vlsE* undergoes random, segmental gene conversion (also termed “switching”, or “templated changes”) in which portions of any of the silent cassettes are copied into the variable domain of the *vlsE* expression site, resulting in cellular-level unique mosaics of *VlsE* due to continuous independent gene conversion events throughout infection (Figure 5) (Zhang and Norris, 1998a, b; Verhey et al., 2018a, b, a, 2019). Unfortunately for researchers investigating the molecular mechanisms involved in antigenic variation of *VlsE*, no switching has been detected during in vitro culture, and the *in vivo* signals that activate this process are currently unknown. Two excellent reviews provide a comparison of the antigenic variation systems of the relapsing fever and Lyme disease *Borrelia* (Norris, 2014) and a compilation of the unique features of the *vls* locus (Chaconas et al., 2020).
B. burgdorferi clones lacking lp28-1 are eliminated from infected immunocompetent mice within 2-3 weeks following inoculation, but survive in the absence of adaptive immune system pressure in SCID mice (Labandeira-Rey et al., 2003; Purser et al., 2003); this result is consistent with a role in protection against adaptive immunity. As expected, transformation of a strain missing lp28-1 with lp28-1 restores full infectivity in immunocompetent mice (Grimm et al., 2004a).

Refined analysis of the specific role of the vls system was achieved using engineered versions of lp28-1 that lacked either the vls sequences or the remaining portion of the plasmid (Bankhead and Chaconas, 2007). The Δvls clones exhibited decreased infectivity in WT mice similar to that seen with a lp28-1 negative clone, with no positive cultures obtained at 21 or 28 days post infection. In contrast, a clone lacking the remainder of lp28-1 but carrying an intact vls locus was fully infectious in WT mice by needle inoculation. The Δvls clones were recovered from every tissue site tested 28 days after infection of SCID mice; this result paralleled the infectivity of lp28-1 minus clones in SCID mice observed previously (Labandeira-Rey et al., 2003; Purser et al., 2003; Lawrenz et al., 2004). Since SCID mice cannot elicit an adaptive immune response, but have intact innate immune responses, these results corroborated other evidence that the role of vls is primarily evasion of adaptive immunity. VlsE also protects (masks) other surface-exposed proteins that do not vary (possibly due to important functional constraints) from host antibodies (Rogovskyy and Bankhead, 2013; Lone and Bankhead, 2020), and this

Figure 5. Schematic of the vls antigenic variation locus of B. burgdorferi strain B31. A, the vls expression locus (vlsE) with its promoter (P) is located 82 bp from the right covalently closed hairpin end of the linear plasmid lp28-1. To the left of the promoter and intergenic region (gray) are 15 silent cassettes carrying information corresponding to the variable region of vlsE and situated in the opposite orientation. B, the vlsE region is shown in greater detail, with the constant regions (CR) shown in yellow and the variable region, which corresponds to the information carried in the vls cassettes, shown in blue. The variable region is flanked by 17-bp direct repeats (DR)s. To the left of vlsE is its promoter, with the -10 and -35 sequences shown as green bars. Also shown by the bidirectional arrow is a 100-bp perfect inverted repeat (IR) that partially overlaps the promoter. C, an enlargement of the vlsE gene shows the product of multiple recombinational switching events that result in the copying of genetic information from the silent cassettes into the expression locus, producing a mosaic vlsE carrying information from a number of the silent cassettes. D, an IR found in the promoter region of the vlsE gene is shown in its normal linear configuration and as an extruded cruciform promoted by negative supercoiling or DNA unwinding from replication or transcription. This figure is republished from Chaconas, G., Castellanos, M. and Verhey, T. B. (2020). “Changing of the guard: How the Lyme disease spirochete subverts the host immune response” J Biol Chem 295(2): 301-313, with permission of The Journal of Biological Chemistry, © the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.
variable protein appears to serve as an immune-evasive barrier critical to maintenance of *B. burgdorferi* in the natural tick-vertebrate infection cycle (Rogovskyy and Bankhead, 2013; Rogovskyy et al., 2015). Of note, the invariable major lipoprotein produced by *B. burgdorferi* in the tick appears to have a role in protecting *B. burgdorferi* from acquired immunity in the tick (which does not have an adaptive immune system), which also is relevant to maintenance in the natural cycle (Battisti et al., 2008).

The timing of the requirement for *vlsE* also is consistent with a role in evasion of adaptive immunity in that antibody responses are first detectable at approximately 2 weeks of *B. burgdorferi* infection. This is also the time frame at which OspC production begins to be detrimental in immunocompetent mice (Tilly et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006; Tilly et al., 2013), as the *ospC* sequence of an individual *Borrelia* cell and its progeny does not vary and so is targeted by the adaptive immune response. VlsE previously was shown to succeed OspC in immunocompetent mice, but in SCID mice infected with a *vlsE*-deficient strain, *ospC* expression was maintained (Tilly et al., 2013). Since OspC has been shown to inhibit the innate complement host defense system, while VlsE renders the adaptive immune response essentially irrelevant to clearance of infection, the OspC-VlsE succession is consistent with *B. burgdorferi*’s requirements for immune evasion to establish and maintain persistence. Intriguingly, both OspC and VlsE were selected for in *vivo* vascular adhesion using a phage display library of *B. burgdorferi* DNA (Antonara et al., 2007). This has been verified for OspC (Lin et al., 2020c), and hints that VlsE may also have an adhesin function in mice.

Within the *vlsE* expression site, there are invariant or "constant" regions at the 5’ (amino terminal) and 3’ (carboxy terminal) ends flanking a central variable region. Additional features of the best-characterized *vls* locus, that of the B31 isolate, include direct repeats flanking the variable region of *vlsE* and the silent copies of *vls* genes, but this feature is not conserved among all strains (Chaconas et al., 2020). A ~100 base pair inverted repeat upstream of the coding sequence and overlapping with the -35 promoter element is present in all *vls* loci sequenced to date (Hudson et al., 2001; Castellanos et al., 2018). The Vls protein is the target of antibody development during infection, with antibody responses targeting both variable and invariable regions (McDowell et al., 2002). Small regions scattered within the variable region are not variable, and one of these segments encodes the "C6" peptide that is a target of serologic testing used for diagnosis of Lyme disease (see, for example, (Branda et al., 2017; Marques, 2018; Wormser et al., 2018; Lipsett et al., 2019)). The variable region largely maps to the membrane-distal protein surface in the crystal structure of VlsE, while the invariant amino and carboxy termini include the major alpha-helices that comprise the framework of the surface-localized peptide that is important for dimerization (Eicken et al., 2002; Verhey et al., 2018a, 2019).

Although point mutations may also occur (Sung et al., 2001; Coutte et al., 2009; Verhey et al., 2018b), most sequence changes can be attributed directly to substitution of silent cassette sequences of varying lengths of ~1-140 base pairs (Verhey et al., 2018b), and so are templated changes. These events can be detected as early as 4 days post infection in mice, and by 28 days each clone re-isolated from mice exhibited a different sequence resulting from separate recombination events (Zhang and Norris, 1998b). Recent advances in DNA sequencing technologies and, more importantly, comprehensive analysis of the enormous volumes of data generated by sequencing reads, have enabled the generation of vast datasets that illuminate *vlsE* changes to the single nucleotide level in *B. burgdorferi* isolated from multiple tissue sites in independently infected mice at multiple time points (Verhey et al., 2018a, b, 2019).

The use of long-read sequencing combined with multiple reads for each template strand enabled reliable assembly and analyses of the sequence data that had previously been impossible with older technologies, a particularly daunting task in light of the multicopy *vls* genes arranged in linear fashion on a single genomic replicon. These data revealed that essentially all *vlsE* sequences isolated from infected mice were unique, i.e. that no single sequence change predominated, or was strongly selected for, in murine infection (Verhey et al., 2018a, b, 2019), similar to earlier findings (Zhang and Norris, 1998b). The deep sequencing used in the more recent studies also suggested that, once disseminated, individual bacterial cells do not frequently re-enter the circulation to seed additional sites (Verhey et al., 2018a).

The data also revealed that gene conversion events (templated changes) were not randomly distributed in the variable region of *vlsE*, but instead occurred more frequently proximal to sites of previous events (Verhey et al., 2018b). Homology does appear to play an important role in segmental gene conversion, as
particular silent cassettes flanked by direct repeats with the highest similarity to those in *vlsE* also have the highest frequency of being used as templates for segmental gene conversion (Verhey et al., 2018b). As noted by the authors, however, it is possible that a physical constraint in generating the recombination structure favors usage of the same silent cassettes. It is possible that maintenance of the similarities in the direct repeats is mutually selected for based on the physical restraints placed on the DNA by the recombination structure and/or machinery. Interestingly, however, studies using strain B31, which has direct repeats, and strain JD1, which does not, revealed that the direct repeats are not required for segmental gene conversion to occur (Verhey et al., 2018a, 2019). Nevertheless, even without the direct repeats, the *vls* cassettes, by definition, have significant homology, and stretches of highest homology at the base pair level were seen to recombine most frequently (Verhey et al., 2018b). A window of ≥ 20 homologous base pairs flanking a switch site was found to be optimal.

The frequency of “switch” events was estimated to be on the order of 3.3 x 10^{-2} per cell per generation based on the vast data sets generated in these studies (Verhey et al., 2018b). This rate is one to two logs higher than that estimated for *vmp* switching in the relapsing fever *Borrelia*, and it should be noted that this is based on data at the nucleotide, not the amino acid level. Templated changes would be expected to be either silent or missense, while non-templated changes, which occur most frequently in regions in which templated changes are most frequent (Verhey et al., 2018b), could be silent, missense, or nonsense. The cells in which silent or nonsense mutations occur in *vlsE* would more likely be cleared by the host immune system and not available for analysis after infection of wild-type mice. The clustering of non-templated changes with regions of templated switches, which were particularly biased to the 5' end of the templated regions, led the authors to speculate that error-prone repairs may participate in the changes at the *vlsE* locus (Verhey et al., 2018b). It is important to point out that switching of *vlsE* also occurs in SCID mice, but the elimination of clones expressing the parental *vlsE* takes longer, presumably because of the lack of immune clearance of invariant clones (Zhang and Norris, 1998b; Coutte et al., 2009; Verhey et al., 2018a). This observation was exploited in the use of SCID mice to characterize and quantify all types of change events in the *vls* locus, which permits study of *vls* changes independent of selection by the host adaptive immune response.

The potential roles of both the inverted repeat upstream of *vlsE* and the telomere-proximal location of the gene were explored using a mini-*vls* system that had to be constructed due to the genetic intractability of the full-length *vls* locus (Castellanos et al., 2018). In this system, the *vlsE* locus was cloned with or without an adjacent silent cassette, with or without the inverted repeat, and in plasmids that would be maintained either as circular replicons in *B. burgdorferi* or would resolve into linear replicons due to the inclusion of telomeric sequences. Multiple steps, some performed by direct transformation of ligation products into *B. burgdorferi*, were required to generate the constructs. The switching frequency assessed after infection of mice was very low (considered background) in strains carrying plasmids with no silent cassette and in those in which the replicon was circular and lacked the inverted repeat. In contrast, with the silent cassette AND the inverted repeat present, the switching frequencies on both circular and linear replicons were all similar and higher, though approximately three logs less than observed with the intact *vls* locus. At this point, it is not clear how the inverted repeats and the replicon topology affect *vls* variation, but this system will facilitate further investigations of these questions.

Together, all of the results thus far reinforce the concept that antigenic variation is a critical function of VlsE, and that VlsE is a critical virulence determinant of *B. burgdorferi*. Variation in *vlsE* sequences has not been detected during *in vitro* culture (Zhang and Norris, 1998b) or in infected ticks (Indest et al., 2001), indicating that as yet unidentified environmental signals in vertebrate hosts (in all of these experiments, mice) regulate the gene conversion process.

The genes critical to the segmental gene conversion at the *vls* locus were identified independently by two groups (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009). Interestingly, and perhaps surprisingly, RecA expression was shown to be NOT required for *vlsE* recombination during mouse infection (Liveris et al., 2008; Dresser et al., 2009), indicating that the process is not dependent upon ‘standard’ homologous recombination. This is somewhat surprising given the overall homology of the locus and the presence of direct repeats flanking the variable region in many isolates. Two different genetic approaches were employed to the gene(s) critical to *vls* switching; both
found that the process depends on the activity of the RuvA-RuvB Holliday junction helicase/branch migrase. In one study, transposon (Tn) mutants (Lin et al., 2009) in genes involved in DNA repair and recombination were screened in wild-type mice by infection over several time points up to 28 days. Tn mutants in ruvA and ruvB both were attenuated in wild-type mice and had reduced vls recombination when isolates were analyzed after recovery from infected mice. A targeted ruvA mutant showed reduced infection in wild-type, but not SCID, mice (Lin et al., 2009). Sequence analyses of B. burgdorferi clones isolated after murine infection again showed that minimal vlsE change had occurred in the ruvA and ruvB mutants during infection of wild-type mice, and that the parental sequence was detected in only a small proportion of clones by 28 days post-inoculation. In contrast, the parental sequence was found in multiple isolates after infection of SCID mice with the ruvA mutant. The recombination events that did occur in the ruvA mutant during infection of wild-type mice were not only less frequent but also used fewer silent loci as templates, indicating that the fine-scale segmental gene conversion that generates multiple changes of few amino acids in the parental bacteria is not active in the mutant.

In the same journal issue, an independent group, using a different approach, also identified RuvA and RuvB as critical to vlsE segmental gene conversion by generating 17 B. burgdorferi strains with targeted mutations that disrupted genes predicted to encode proteins involved in DNA repair, recombination, and replication (Dresser et al., 2009). A strength of this targeted approach was that it facilitated analysis of independent clones. Two individual mutant strains for each targeted locus were tested for their ability to cause infection in mice, again over a time course, and for vls recombination during infection. Again, ruvA and ruvB mutants were the only strains reproducibly attenuated by both measures.

VlsE and the flanking silent cassettes therefore comprise an elegant system required for Lyme disease Borrelia to maintain persistent infection in immunocompetent reservoir animals, an essential element of the natural lifecycle. Although variation in the surface-exposed portions of the protein keeps antibody-mediated clearance in check, invariant domains that are not surface-exposed are targeted by antibody responses that are not effective at clearing the organism, but are useful in diagnosis of infection in accidental hosts including humans. Finally, there is some evidence that additional proteins help B. burgdorferi survive in the face of the host adaptive immune response, e.g. BB0210, also known as Lmp1 (Yang et al., 2009). As yet, the specific roles that other such proteins play remain to be determined.

**Dissemination and tissue colonization: A plethora of adhesins**

Colonization of the tick is mediated by several B. burgdorferi proteins, including OspA, an adhesin that binds to the tick midgut epithelium (also see chapter 11 by Pal et al.). In addition, OspA blocks antibody access to the bacterial cell when B. burgdorferi resides in the tick midgut, serving as a shield as well (Battisti et al., 2008). In this chapter, however, we focus on interactions that contribute to infection of the mammalian host. B. burgdorferi has been known for decades to bind to a variety of mammalian cell types in culture and to extracellular matrix components, as reviewed in (Benach et al., 1991; Coburn et al., 2005; Antonara et al., 2011; Coburn et al., 2013; Brissette and Gaultney, 2014), but the relevance of these interactions in vivo has not always been clear. Recent advances in genetic manipulation of the bacterium and in imaging have enabled studies of the roles of individual B. burgdorferi-host protein interactions in vivo. Here we focus on B. burgdorferi proteins that facilitate survival, dissemination, and tissue colonization in the mouse model of infection and that bind to specific mammalian substrates in vitro. Several adhesins also serve to combat host immune defenses, and, at least in some cases, these activities are mediated by distinct domains. Of note, adhesive activities found only in vitro warrant some caution regarding importance in vivo, as many of the substrate proteins are inherently "sticky”. Affinity and specificity are important considerations, but the gold standard regarding any candidate adhesin is the fulfillment of molecular Koch’s postulates (Falkow, 1988). We begin with the adhesins that bind to components of the extracellular matrix (ECM), then move on to adhesins that bind to mammalian integral membrane proteins that have transmembrane signaling potential.

**Adhesins that bind to extracellular matrix components**

Laminins constitute a family of widely distributed heterotrimeric extracellular matrix glycoproteins that serve a variety of functions; many bacteria produce laminin-binding adhesins. B. burgdorferi encodes at least three laminin-binding adhesins: ErpX, BmpA and BB0406. ErpX was shown to bind laminin (stated to be primarily α1β1γ1 by the vendor) produced by a murine sarcoma cell line.
in vivo role have been reported.

Similar in vitro approaches were used to identify the Bmp proteins as candidate laminin-binding adhesins, although only BmpA was investigated in depth (Verma et al., 2009). In vivo, there is evidence that BmpA and B contribute to joint colonization and arthritis development (Pal et al., 2008), and BmpD was selected in vivo for vascular adhesion (Antonara et al., 2007). More recent structure based predictions suggest a role for Bmp proteins in nutrient uptake (Astrand et al., 2019; Cuellar et al., 2020), although this activity has not been experimentally verified. Furthermore, BmpB, C, and D all are associated with the cytoplasmic membrane, i.e. not available to serve as adhesins (Dowdell et al., 2017). Therefore, potential roles of Bmp proteins as adhesins are in serious doubt, as independent lines of evidence (in silico and experimental) suggest that they are not located on the B. burgdorferi surface.

BB0406 is a recent addition to the group of laminin-binding adhesins as well as a putative porin (Shrestha et al., 2017; Bista et al., 2020). Mutants in bb0406 are infectious but attenuated in mice and show reduced bacterial burdens in tissues compared to the parental strain. The data presented support a role for this protein in dissemination and bacterial colonization in mouse tissues (Bista et al., 2020). The precise roles of the laminin-binding proteins in murine infection remain to be determined.

OspC is one case in which a protein known to be required for B. burgdorferi to establish infection in mice was subsequently found to have adhesion functions and a role in evasion of innate immunity. It is not yet clear whether the same or distinct domains of the protein are involved in each activity. ospC mutants are unable to initiate infection in either immunocompetent or MyD88−/− and SCID mice (Table 1), which are deficient in innate and adaptive immunity, respectively. These results are consistent with the more recent identification of OspC as an antiphagocytic factor (Carrasco et al., 2015), and as having a role in disabling the complement cascade (Caine et al., 2017) (Table 1). Expression is required only during initial infection, as ΔospC organisms complemented with ospC encoded on a plasmid had consistently lost the plasmid 6 weeks post infection (Tilly et al., 2006). Constitutive expression of OspC results in elimination of B. burgdorferi in immunocompetent but not SCID mice (Xu et al., 2006), suggesting that appropriate downregulation of this major surface protein is critical to evasion of the adaptive immune response. The documented succession of OspC to VlsE production by B. burgdorferi during mammalian infection (Tilly et al., 2013) is interesting in this regard, as OspC contributes to complement resistance in innate and adaptive immunity, while VlsE undergoes continual antigenic variation throughout mammalian infection, protecting the bacteria from the adaptive immune response (Table 1).

OspC also was identified as a candidate adhesin using in vivo phage display (Antonara et al., 2007) and was shown to bind to mammalian cells in culture. Subsequent work has demonstrated that OspC binds to mammalian ECM components fibronectin and/or dermatan sulfate, with binding to each substrate varying with the OspC sequence type (Lin et al., 2020c). OspC also contributes to extravasation of bacteria (Lin et al., 2020c). VlsE also was selected for vascular adhesive activities using in vivo phage display (Antonara et al., 2007) (Table 1), providing hints of possible adhesion-based functional overlap of these proteins in addition to their roles in subverting or evading host immune defenses.

An operon encoding two related adhesins, decorin-binding proteins A and B (DbpA and DbpB; Table 1), also is activated when the bacteria are transiting to the mammalian host. Decorin is a proteoglycan with a core protein modified by addition of a dermatan sulfate (chondroitin sulfate B) glycosaminoglycan, which “decorates” type 1 collagen fibrils. Decorin is known to have several structural and regulatory functions and is widely available as a substrate for bacterial adhesion. DbpA has been shown to bind the proteoglycan decorin, and to dermatan sulfate glycosaminoglycans in the absence of the core protein. In the first genetic study of the role of B. burgdorferi attachment to host molecules, decorin-deficient mice showed colonization defects by B. burgdorferi in heart, bladder, and joint at low doses; at higher inocula, decorin-deficient mice harbored fewer B. burgdorferi specifically in joint tissue and exhibited reduced arthritis severity and frequency (Brown et al., 2001). Mutant B. burgdorferi that do not produce DbpA and DbpB have significant attenuations in virulence as measured by bacterial loads in disseminated sites (Hyde et al., 2011; Fortune et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2014), suggesting that a key role for these proteins is in facilitating dissemination and/or colonization of multiple tissue sites. Various DbpA sequences produced in an isogenic strain background showed different levels of binding to decorin and dermatan sulfate in vitro and
different levels of colonization in a variety of tissues in vivo (Lin et al., 2014). For example, DbpA sequences from B. garinii and B. afzelii strains colonized joint tissues in mice at significantly lower levels than did DbpA from a B. burgdorferi strain, reflecting the different propensities of the species to cause arthritis in humans (Lin et al., 2014).

The search for B. burgdorferi collagen-binding adhesins began long before the identification of BBA33 as a collagen-binding adhesin (Zhi et al., 2015). The interest in collagen was initially fueled by pathological observations of the bacteria residing primarily in perivascular connective tissues and frequently associated with collagen (Barthold et al., 1988; Barthold et al., 1991; Barthold et al., 1993). Although B. burgdorferi was found to bind to and invade biologically intact type 1 collagen (Zambrano et al., 2004), which is the most abundant collagen and is widely distributed, BBA33 was found to bind to types IV and VI collagen (Zhi et al., 2015). Type IV collagen is widely distributed, primarily found in the basement membrane (basal lamina) of endothelial and epithelial cell layers. Type VI collagen is abundant in skeletal muscle and nervous system tissue types and appears to serve as a connection between basal lamina and other ECM components. Since collagens are increasingly appreciated as signaling as well as structural proteins, it is intriguing to contemplate potential effects of B. burgdorferi binding to collagen not only in terms of tissue colonization but also in effects on host responses to the bacteria. Considerations of the complexities of B. burgdorferi interactions with ECM components are complicated by the complexities of interactions between the ECM components themselves (e.g., binding of decorin to type I collagen). Interestingly, BBA33 does not bind to collagen type I (Zhi et al., 2015), suggesting that additional collagen-binding adhesins await discovery.

B. burgdorferi encodes several fibronectin-binding adhesins RevB, RevA (2 genomic copies), BB0347, and BBK32, in order of increasing affinity for fibronectin (decreasing $K_b$). All were identified originally using in vitro approaches, but further work has identified roles for some in the mouse model of infection. The fibronectin-binding adhesin with the lowest affinity for fibronectin, RevB (encoded by bbc10), does not yet have a known in vivo function. The only test reported thus far is in gain of function studies in which no increases in binding to skin vasculature were seen as compared to the parental strain (Moriarty et al., 2012).

Studies on RevA are inherently complicated by the fact that there are two copies of the gene on different replicons in the genome. Nevertheless, a double knockout strain was generated, and while there was no difference in the ID$_{50}$ from the wild-type parental strain, a defect in colonization of heart tissue was identified (Byram et al., 2015). Complementation of the mutant restored heart colonization. A transposon insertion near the 5’ end of one of the RevA genes, bbm27, revealed an infectivity defect, while a transposon insertion nearer the 3’ end of the other RevA-encoding gene, bbp27, did not have an apparent virulence defect in mice (Lin et al., 2012). This provides a basis for future studies of the precise roles of the RevA proteins during B. burgdorferi infection. For example, no role for RevA was found in gain of function B. burgdorferi strain interactions with the vasculature in the skin in living mice (Moriarty et al., 2012), and the same gain of function strain showed reduced binding to heart and ear tissues in a different infection model (Caine and Coburn, 2015). BB0347 is a third fibronectin-binding adhesin of B. burgdorferi that was not found to have any significant effect on B. burgdorferi interactions with the skin vasculature (Moriarty et al., 2012), but in gain of function studies was found to increase B. burgdorferi interactions with the vasculature in tibiotarsal joint tissue (Caine and Coburn, 2015).

Finally, although not essential to the ability of B. burgdorferi to cause infection in mice, BBK32 is the highest-affinity fibronectin-binding protein produced by B. burgdorferi and has separable dermatan sulfate glycosaminoglycan (GAG)-binding activity as well. Both of the extracellular matrix-binding activities contribute to vascular interactions of B. burgdorferi in living mice (Moriarty et al., 2012). Initial, more transient, B. burgdorferi-endothelium interactions are mediated by fibronectin binding, while the more stable interactions are mediated by GAG binding. The GAG-binding activity of BBK32 also contributes to B. burgdorferi tropism for joint tissue during murine infection (Lin et al., 2015). As described earlier, BBK32 also binds C1r, blocking its activation and thereby the classical complement pathway (Garcia et al., 2016). Live imaging of B. burgdorferi infection in mice using bioluminescence also supports a role for BBK32 in the full virulence of the bacteria (Hyde et al., 2011).
Several questions remain to be resolved regarding the potential roles of the fibronectin-binding adhesins of *B. burgdorferi*. In addition to those described above, there are reports that CspA, a complement regulatory protein, also binds other host molecules, including fibronectin (Hallstrom et al., 2010). One interesting consideration is whether the different fibronectin-binding proteins might have different affinities for soluble (plasma/serum) fibronectin vs. insoluble matrix fibronectin. Several pathogens are known to coat themselves with host molecules as a mechanism to evade host recognition, and it is possible that *B. burgdorferi* does this as well. However, this possibility remains to be experimentally addressed, a task made more difficult by the number of adhesins with apparently overlapping or redundant functions produced by *B. burgdorferi* and other spirochetes.

Bgp (*Borrelia* GAG-binding protein, BB0588) was the first *B. burgdorferi* GAG-binding adhesin identified, based on biochemical purification from the spirochetes (Leong et al., 1995; Parveen and Leong, 2000). Like intact *B. burgdorferi*, Bgp bound heparin and dermatan sulfate (Parveen and Leong, 2000). Bgp is a secreted protein that is found in the culture medium as well as on the surface of the spirochete (Parveen and Leong, 2000; Cluss et al., 2004). In addition to its GAG-binding properties, Bgp is a 5'-methylthioadenosine/S-adenosyl homocysteine nucleosidase (Pfs), which in other bacteria is important in elimination of toxic metabolic products and in nucleotide salvage (Winzer and Williams, 2001). Recombinant Bgp partially inhibits *B. burgdorferi* attachment to purified GAGs and to cultured mammalian cells, supporting it as a candidate adhesin, but the nonadherent *B. burgdorferi* strain B314 produces Bgp, indicating that Bgp is not sufficient to promote bacterial binding. *B. burgdorferi bgp* mutants retain the ability to infect mice (Parveen et al., 2006; Schlachter et al., 2018). A different protein, BB0210, also termed Lmp1, was selected for adhesion to the vasculature in living mice using phage display (Antonara et al., 2007), and subsequently shown to bind to the GAG chondroitin-6-sulfate (Yang et al., 2016). The middle region of this protein contains several repeats, at least some of which were included in all selected phage clones. This middle region is responsible for the adhesion activity, which can in itself promote *B. burgdorferi* infectivity (Yang et al., 2016).

Among the *B. burgdorferi* mutants that do not produce known GAG-binding adhesins, those that do not produce *dbpAB* or *ospC* are most attenuated. Mutants in *bbK32* and *lmp1* are less attenuated, and *bgp* appears to play a very minor role in murine infection. Many of these proteins are multifunctional, and the roles of GAG binding, specifically, have been investigated only for *DbpA*, *OspC*, and *BBK32* (Moriarty et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2020c). It remains possible that the other GAG-binding adhesins play more significant roles in other vertebrate hosts.

**Integrin binding proteins**

Integrins are heterodimeric, integral membrane proteins produced by all mammalian cell types except erythrocytes. They perform a number of critical activities for the cell, including regulation of growth and morphology, adhesion to extracellular matrix, and bidirectional signaling in response to a variety of stimuli (Dustin and Springer; Larson and Springer, 1990; Hynes, 1992; Ruoslahti, 1996, 1997; Hynes, 2002; Bachmann et al., 2019; Humphries et al., 2019; Mohammed et al., 2019). Each integrin is composed of one alpha (α) subunit plus one beta (β) subunit, with the primary subdivisions into families based on the β subunit. There are two known β3 chain integrins, αIIbβ3 and αvβ3, and *B. burgdorferi* has been shown to bind to both (Coburn et al., 1993; Coburn et al., 1994; Coburn et al., 1998; Coburn et al., 1999). Both of these integrins are rather promiscuous, meaning that they bind to several different mammalian proteins (ligands). *B. burgdorferi* is also known to bind to at least two β1 chain integrins, α3β1 and α6β1, but interactions with other β1 chain integrins and other integrin β chain families have not been carefully investigated.

The integral outer membrane protein P66, which is also a porin, was the first integrin binding protein identified in *B. burgdorferi*. This was done using a functional phage display selection as opposed to homology or motif searching (Coburn et al., 1999). P66 binds primarily to the β3 chain integrins, αIIbβ3 and αvβ3, showing that, like its integrin partners, P66 is promiscuous (Coburn et al., 1999; Coburn and Cugini, 2003). Subsequent studies demonstrated that P66 is essential for mammalian but not tick infection (Ristow et al., 2012), consistent with the observation that P66 is not detectable in the unfed tick (Cugini et al., 2003). The integrin binding activity has a specific role in facilitating dissemination and extravasation of the spirochete out of the vasculature and into perivascular connective tissue.
but is not essential for murine infection (Kumar et al., 2015; Ristow et al., 2015).

Leukocyte extravasation in the inflammatory response is a step-wise process in which leukocyte carbohydrates bind to endothelial cell selectins to capture the circulating cells, and leukocyte integrins then bind to endothelial cell ligands to form stationary adhesions (Lawrence and Springer, 1991; Lawrence et al., 1995). Further interactions and cellular changes at the chemical and mechanical levels promote leukocyte migration across the endothelium and basement membrane, which is critical to homing to sites of infection and inflammation. It is intriguing to note some conceptual similarities between leukocyte and B. burgdorferi extravasation, with the requirement for BBK32-host GAG interactions slowing the movement of the spirochetes in circulation and P66-host integrin and OspC-GAG interactions promoting extravasation.

Several candidate B. burgdorferi integrin ligands were identified by searching the genome for predicted proteins containing the RGD tripeptide, which is commonly found in mammalian β1 and β3 integrin ligands, and for possible secretion signals. Purified recombinant BBB07 promoted pro-inflammatory responses in synoviocytes through integrin αvβ1 (Behera et al., 2008), and transposon insertions showed mild defects in infectivity in mice (Lin et al., 2012). However, these results have not been connected experimentally, and at this point it is not clear that BBB07 is exposed on the surface of the B. burgdorferi cell and available to interact with host molecules. Further work regarding a different B. burgdorferi protein, BB0172, which in recombinant form also binds integrin αvβ1 (Wood et al., 2013) is also needed to determine relevance to B. burgdorferi infection and pathogenesis.

It is clear that B. burgdorferi encodes many adhesive proteins, and the roles in infection of mice for some are diagrammed in Figure 6. One might ask several questions: do all of the putative adhesins actually function as adhesins, and if so, why are so many needed? Several known adhesins have been shown to be essential to the ability of B. burgdorferi to cause infection in mice (e.g. OspC, P66) (Grimm et al., 2004b; Tilly et al., 2006; Ristow et al., 2012 ), while others contribute significantly to infection (BBK32, DbpA), but are not absolutely essential (Li et al., 2006; Seshu et al., 2006; Blevins et al., 2008; Hyde et al., 2011; Imai et al., 2013; Fortune et al., 2014). Others (e.g. Bgp) appear to make relatively minor contributions to infection and pathogenesis in laboratory mice (Parveen et al., 2006; Schlachter et al., 2018). It is certainly possible that, given the host range of B. burgdorferi in the natural infection cycle, some adhesins contribute more significantly to B. burgdorferi infection in natural reservoir hosts. It is also important to note that several of the B. burgdorferi adhesins (e.g., OspC, BBK32, P66, BB0406) are multifunctional, and it is important to recognize that different functions may contribute to infectivity. In fact, this can and has been experimentally addressed (Seshu et al., 2006; Hyde et al., 2011; Ristow et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015; Ristow et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2016; Caine et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2020c), but much remains to be done to fully understand the roles of each adhesin in B. burgdorferi infection.

As is the case for other pathogenic bacteria, it has been speculated that B. burgdorferi adhesins may play different roles in infection (Figure 6). In fact, evidence to date suggests that GAG binding by BBK32 is important for transient interactions with the vascular endothelium in vivo (Norman et al., 2008; Moriarty et al., 2012) and for colonization of joint tissue (Lin et al., 2015), while OspC GAG binding activity is required for extravasation and colonization of joint tissue, with associated joint swelling (Lin et al., 2020c). DbpA is also involved in colonization of joint tissue and promotes arthritis development in an allele-specific manner (Lin et al., 2014). P66 integrin-binding activity and OspC GAG-binding activity are required for extravasation in vivo (Kumar et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2020c). Since many of the B. burgdorferi adhesins bind glycosaminoglycans, one would think that they might be functionally redundant. However, since phenotypes seen in deletion mutant strains are decipherable, they are non-redundant, but in many cases the specific interactions that reveal the essential nature of each remain to be elucidated. The recognition of ECM components, such as Fn, collagen, or GAGs, which consist of repeating subunits of either protein or carbohydrate origin, may lead one to suspect that the corresponding B. burgdorferi adhesins might be recognizing diverse repeat structures with limited specificity, although specific roles for each have been demonstrated. Even interactions of relatively low affinity and specificity may promote bacterial binding to host components, which may then facilitate formation of more specific interactions mediated by other bacterial and host molecules. It is also possible that the
relatively low affinities for human molecules may be higher for comparable molecules of other species. It is also important to remember that some of the extracellular matrix substrates for adhesion by *B. burgdorferi* also modulate immune responses by the host (e.g. (Iozzo and Schaefer, 2010; Moreth et al., 2012; Frevert et al., 2018)), so the effects of *B. burgdorferi*-extracellular matrix interactions on the nature of the immune response remain to be explored. The same is true of *B. burgdorferi*-integrin interactions, as integrins are also involved in shaping the immune response (Striz and Costabel, 1992; Gorfu et al., 2009; Nishimura, 2009; Verma and Kelleher, 2017; Thome et al., 2018). A difficult challenge, particularly in an experimental system with limited genetic tools, will be to systematically characterize each of the adherence pathways of *B. burgdorferi*, with respect to their biochemical functions, their effects on host cell biology and immune responses, and their roles during infection of mammals and ticks.

Roles of bacterial and host proteinases in dissemination and inflammation

In addition to binding to extracellular matrix proteins, *B. burgdorferi* needs a mechanism for breaking down the molecules in the extracellular matrix that impede its movement and that promote the inflammatory response that might clear the infection. *B. burgdorferi* appears to have adopted several strategies for accomplishing this, as reviewed in (Hu et al., 2001).

*B. burgdorferi* encodes a homolog of the high temperature requirement A (HtrA) family of proteins. HtrA in *B. burgdorferi*, an ortholog of DegP, a periplasmic chaperone and quality control protein, is bound to outer membranes and released into the milieu (Coleman et al., 2013; Russell et al., 2013; Backert et al., 2018). It has been shown to play a role in degradation of *B. burgdorferi* proteins such as the basic membrane protein, BmpD, the chemotaxis phosphatase CheX, and outer membrane porin, P66, the monomeric but not polymerized form of flagellin, and the cellular fission protein BB0323 (Coleman et al., 2013; Kariu et al., 2013; Coleman et al., 2016; Ye

---

**Figure 6. B. burgdorferi-host interactions that contribute to infection.** Shown are interactions between host molecules and *B. burgdorferi* virulence determinants that have been documented to influence infection *in vivo* in animal model(s). Many more await further characterization or have not yet been shown to make significant contributions in the mouse model of *B. burgdorferi* infection. Additional factors such as those involved in nutrient scavenging are not included here. Refer to Table 1 for a more complete summary of factors known to participate in *B. burgdorferi* survival in mice. Complement factors: C1r, C4b, Factor H (and related proteins). Adhesion substrates: GAG = glycosaminoglycan, Fn = fibronectin.
et al., 2016; Coleman et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). In vitro, HtrA also has aggrecanase activity and is able to degrade the extracellular matrix components fibronectin and aggrecan (Russell et al., 2013). An HtrA mutant is non-infectious in mice, but also exhibits significant growth defects in vitro (Ye et al., 2016), has decreased motility, and produces less pyruvate (Coleman et al., 2018). It is unclear whether the activity of HtrA on B. burgdorferi proteins and/or degradation of extracellular matrix proteins are important to the loss of infectivity of the HtrA mutant (Ye et al., 2016).

The Lon proteases are a group of ATP-dependent serine proteases ubiquitously distributed in the genomes sequenced to date. They fall into two major classes: LonA, encoded primarily in bacterial and eukaryotic genomes, and LonB, present in archaea. LonA proteases have generally been found to be localized in the cytoplasm and LonBs attached to the cytoplasmic membrane, but both groups appear to assure protein quality control. Most bacteria encode a single LonA, but Borrelia species encode two, Lon-1 (encoded by bb0253 in B. burgdorferi) and Lon-2 (encoded by bb0613 in B. burgdorferi). Both genes are expressed during mammalian infection (Mason et al., 2020; Thompson et al., 2020). The two B. burgdorferi proteins are functionally distinct, with different N-terminal substrate-binding domains and different abilities to complement an E. coli lon mutant (Coleman et al., 2009), suggesting distinct activities. Individual knockout mutants have been generated and assessed for both in vitro and in vivo phenotypes. Inactivation of lon-1 results in reduced growth rate, reduced resilience to osmotic stress and oxidative stress, increased levels of OspC and RpoS in vitro, and markedly decreased infectivity (Thompson et al., 2020). The lon-2 mutant did not display an in vitro growth defect but did show reduced resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress in vitro and, as with lon-1 mutants, significantly reduced burdens in tissue samples from mice infected with a single dose (Mason et al., 2020). In this mutant, however, some reductions in in vitro levels of OspC and RpoS protein levels were noted.

Another strategy utilized by B. burgdorferi for breaking down extracellular matrix proteins is to exploit host proteases. B. burgdorferi has been shown to bind and stabilize plasmin, an important protease in the host fibrinolytic cascade (Coleman et al., 1995; Hu et al., 1995; Klemptner et al., 1995; Klemptner et al., 1996). A number of B. burgdorferi proteins have been found to bind plasminogen in vitro (Fuchs et al., 1994; Hu et al., 1997; Brissette et al., 2009b; Hallstrom et al., 2010; Floden et al., 2011; Onder et al., 2012; Toledo et al., 2012; Koenigs et al., 2013). The role that each plays in vivo has generally not been experimentally established, with one exception (Earnhart et al., 2014). The C-terminal 10 amino acids of OspC were shown to bind plasminogen but were found not to be required for B. burgdorferi infection. Plasmin is a broad-spectrum serine protease that, in addition to degrading fibrin, is capable of degrading extracellular matrix components such as elastin and laminin. Plasmin is also an important activator of other extracellular matrix degrading proteases such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Plasmin is produced by activation of its circulating zymogen form, plasminogen, through the action of activating proteases such as urokinase-type plasminogen activator (u-PA) and tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA). The presence of B. burgdorferi induces production of u-PA and its receptor (CD87) by circulating monocytes (Fuchs et al., 1996; Coleman et al., 2001; Hale et al., 2006). CD87 can stabilize u-PA against degradation and inactivation by circulating inhibitors (e.g. plasminogen activator inhibitor-2, PAl-2), allowing it to efficiently convert plasminogen to plasmin. Urokinase also may bind directly to B. burgdorferi, which also protects it against inactivation (Klempner et al., 1996). The binding of plasmin to B. burgdorferi has been shown to increase the ability of the organism to pass through cell culture monolayers and to avoid inhibition by circulating inhibitors such as α2-antiplasmin (Coleman et al., 1995; Perides et al., 1996). In studies of plasminogen deficient mice, it was shown that plasmin was required for efficient dissemination of B. burgdorferi within the tick (i.e. from the midgut to the salivary glands) (Coleman et al., 1997). The presence of plasmin also enhanced spirochtemia following feeding of infected ticks on uninfected mice. However, it did not affect the spread of bacteria to distant sites in mice.

Other proteases appear to be involved in extracellular matrix degradation and dissemination by B. burgdorferi. MMPs are the major host enzymes in degradation of extracellular matrix proteins. They are involved in normal host processes such as tissue remodeling and wound healing and, as a family, can degrade every component of the extracellular matrix. Infection with B. burgdorferi has been shown to induce production of numerous MMPs (including MMP-1,
MMP-3, MMP-9, MMP-13 and MMP-19) from different host tissues (keratinocytes, fibroblasts, astrocytes, PBMCs and chondrocytes) in cell culture (Perides et al., 1999; Gebbia et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2001a; Zhao et al., 2003; Behera et al., 2004; Gebbia et al., 2004; Behera et al., 2005; Grab et al., 2007). MMP-9 is up-regulated in erythema migrans skin lesions from infected patients (Zhao et al., 2003), and promotes development of arthritis but not B. burgdorferi dissemination, and does not increase bacterial loads in the joints (Heilpern et al., 2009). In vitro studies showed that MMP-9 can be activated by plasmin bound to B. burgdorferi and that activation of MMP-9 results in increased penetration of spirochetes through artificial barriers, including artificial blood-brain barrier models (Gebbia et al., 2001; Grab et al., 2005; Grab et al., 2007). MMP-9 also was found to be increased in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with neuroborreliosis (Kirchner et al., 2000). Animal studies have shown that MMP-9 plays an important role in penetration of Streptococcus pneumonia through the blood brain barrier (Paul et al., 1998). However, studies of MMP-9 knockout mice in Lyme disease models have not shown any difference in dissemination of B. burgdorferi to distant sites, although it was important to the development of arthritis (Heilpern et al., 2009). However, because the MMPs show considerable overlap in their substrate specificity and because B. burgdorferi induces multiple MMPs from host tissues, it is possible that deficiency of any single MMP is compensated for by other induced enzymes.

While B. burgdorferi may co-opt host proteases to assist with dissemination, the induction and activation of MMPs resulting from the presence of B. burgdorferi contributes to some of the pathology associated with Lyme disease. In particular, MMPs have been closely associated with degradation of bone and cartilage in other forms of arthritis (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis). The collagenases MMP-1 and MMP-13, as well asstromelysins (MMP-3 and MMP-19), were found to be elevated in the synovial fluids of patients with Lyme arthritis and/or induced from cultured chondrocytes by the presence of B. burgdorferi (Hu et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2001a). Studies with monkey cartilage explants have shown that MMPs and a related metalloproteinase from the ADAMTS family (A Disintegrin-like And Metalloprotease domain with Thrombospondin type 1 motifs), aggrecanase-1/ADAMTS-4, play a key role in the degradation of articular cartilage after B. burgdorferi infection (Hu et al., 2001; Behera et al., 2006). The specific MMPs induced by B. burgdorferi differ significantly between animals, as well as from tissue to tissue, and may be responsible for some of the differences in tissue-specific pathology. For example, the MMP-1, MMP-8 and MMP-13 collagenases are increased in human Lyme arthritis but not in joints of mouse strains infected with B. burgdorferi (Behera et al., 2005; Crandall et al., 2006). Irreparable cartilage damage occurs with the degradation of interstitial collagens by collagenases but not with degradation of other cartilage components (e.g. aggrecans, hyaluronans) mediated through MMPs in the non-collagenase families. It is possible that these differences explain the lack of permanent joint erosions in mouse models of Lyme arthritis compared with humans.

**Summary: Borrelia burgdorferi Biology Requires Multiple Virulence Determinants for Infection, Dissemination, Persistence, and Pathogenesis**

Dissemination of Borrelia species from the inoculation site to additional sites in an animal host and persistence in the skin are necessary for propagation in the natural tick-vertebrate infection cycle. While the focus in this chapter has been on consideration of these properties as virulence determinants, they are fundamental to the biology of B. burgdorferi. It is also important to remember that the focus of this chapter has been on features and questions that are experimentally tractable in mouse models of infection. B. burgdorferi has been shown by multiple groups to migrate in the skin following inoculation in humans (Berger et al., 1985; Berger et al., 1992; Mitchell et al., 1993), but not all B. burgdorferi strains cause disseminated infection in humans (Wang et al., 1999; Earnhart et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2006). In contrast, B. burgdorferi does generally spread to multiple diverse tissue sites as the infection proceeds in the laboratory mouse. The local spread, which is associated with the leading edge of the erythema migrans lesion in humans (Berger et al., 1985; Berger et al., 1992; Mitchell et al., 1993), is detectable in mice using microscopic imaging techniques including two photon and intravital microscopy (see the imaging section of this chapter and chapter 17 by Chaconas et al.), although mice do not develop erythema migrans. Dissemination through an entire animal (e.g. mouse) has been reliably followed over time and quantified using in vivo whole animal imaging, culture and qPCR, but these approaches are not possible, unethical, or more difficult in human patients. Once infection of most vertebrate hosts is established, it is maintained over long periods of time in the absence of antibiotic therapy.
Many researchers have contributed significantly to our understanding of *Borrelia*-host interactions in the mouse model. Spread through tissue matrices is thought to occur even between different tissue types, but the most efficient means of spread early after infection is likely through transient dissemination through the blood and/or lymphatic vascular systems. Imaging of lymphatic vessel involvement and blood vessel invasion has not yet been achieved, but i.v. inoculation of the bacteria has permitted intravitral microscopic imaging of interactions with and extravasation from blood vessels (the vasculature) (Moriarty et al., 2008; Norman et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Moriarty et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2020c), and elucidation of specific roles in these processes for some adhesins. In order to even image such events, the bacteria must survive the innate host defenses in the circulation and in tissues, e.g. complement, ROS, and RNS, as discussed earlier in this chapter. In addition, the biosynthetically feeble *Borrelia* require nutrients supplied by the vertebrate host or through salvage pathways to survive and replicate, also as described earlier.

Despite the incredible amount of information clinical and basic science researchers have gained about *B. burgdorferi* and roles of some of its virulence determinants in infection, there are many interesting questions to be addressed in future research on the fundamental biology and virulence determinants of *B. burgdorferi*. For example, what is the mammalian host signal that activates switching at the *vls* locus, and what signaling pathways in the *Borrelia* cell are involved? What are the specific mechanisms by which different adhesins contribute to dissemination, tissue colonization, intravascular interactions, and persistence in host animals? Are these the same or distinct in different vertebrate hosts? How is it that multiple adhesins that have similar binding activities *in vitro* have discernable roles *in vivo*? The same questions could be applied to complement regulatory proteins of Lyme disease *Borrelia*. How do the adhesins, complement regulators, and proteases collaborate during infection without inactivating each other? Why is *B. burgdorferi* infection associated with arthritis in humans and certain mouse strains, while *B. garinii* is associated with neuroborreliosis in humans? Are differences in bacterial components and host responses to these components each important in determining differential disease manifestations? What are the critical nutritional factors required by *B. burgdorferi* to replicate in the vertebrate host? Fortunately, there is an ever-expanding and increasingly elegant genetic toolbox that facilitates research on the biology of *B. burgdorferi*, but the segmented genome and strain-to-strain and species-to-species variations remain vexing. Importantly, mice are not tiny humans, and differences in how *Borrelia* species replicate, disseminate, are potentially cleared, or are pathogenic in different host species remain underexplored. Human infection is likely best recapitulated in non-human primate models of infection, but these require knowledge and resources not available to most researchers.

The human pathogenic spirochetes, *Borrelia*, *Treponema*, *Leptospira*, and *Brachyspira*, are all capable of causing long-term infections, although the sites of persistence and the means of acquisition vary dramatically. Perhaps further analysis of the expanding genomic information, and the expansion of genetic tools for all four genera will shed further light on commonalities and differences among the pathogens, and comparisons with the spirochete genera that are not pathogenic will illuminate convergent vs. divergent evolution and the features critical to maintenance of the unique lifestyles of each.
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### Lyme Disease Pathogenesis

#### Table 1. Information regarding known and potential virulence determinants of *Borrelia burgdorferi* (partial listing). Genes are sorted first according to their apparent major functions during the infectious cycle, then by genetic locus designation. In each section, those encoded on the chromosome are listed before those encoded on genomic plasmids. Note that some appear in more than one major function section. Chr= chromosome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein(s) and gene(s)</th>
<th>Location(s)</th>
<th>Findings / evidence in vitro</th>
<th>Findings / evidence in vivo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulation</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>CsrA</strong> (bb00733)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Carbon storage regulator A controls expression of a number of infection-relevant genes (Karna et al., 2011; Arnold et al., 2018).</td>
<td>A mutant lacking csrA is non-infectious in mice; however, complementation of the mutant in trans does not restore the infectious phenotype (Karna et al., 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LipA</strong> (bb02355)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>CarD-like transcriptional regulator important for in vitro growth and cold stress (Yang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2018).</td>
<td>An lipA mutant demonstrates delayed infection in mice and reduced survival in ticks (Chen et al., 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BB0363</strong> (bb0363)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase important for motility (Sultan et al., 2010).</td>
<td>Essential for infection of mice but dispensable for survival within ticks (Sultan et al., 2010).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LuxS</strong> (bb0377)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Potential involvement in quorum sensing (Stevenson and Babb, 2002; Hubner et al., 2003; von Lackum et al., 2006; Riley et al., 2007).</td>
<td>Provides competitive advantage during mammalian infection (Arnold et al., 2015),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rtp1</strong> (bb0419)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Two component response regulator; diguanylate cyclase (Rogers et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Required for survival in ticks (Caimano et al., 2011; He et al., 2011; Kostick et al., 2011), inactivation of gene leads to attenuated virulence but overexpression leads to avirulence in mice (Kostick et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HK1</strong> (bb0425)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Two component response regulator; histidine kinase (Caimano et al., 2011)</td>
<td>Required for survival in ticks (Caimano et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RpoN</strong> (bb0450)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Sigma factor that regulates temperature- and pH-dependent gene expression (Ouyang et al., 2008); RpoN activates rpoS (Smith et al., 2007; Blevins et al., 2009); RpoS activates ospC promoter (Yang et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Inactivation results in loss of tick to mouse transmission, mouse infectivity and mammal-arthropod transmission, but does not affect survival in ticks (Caimano et al., 2004; Ouyang et al., 2008).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BosR</strong> (bb0647)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Regulatory protein that affects RpoS production and thus promotes production of several known virulence determinants during transmission from tick to mammal and early in mammalian infection, including OsC, DbaAB (Hyde et al., 2009; Ouyang et al., 2011); involved in the oxidative stress response (Boylan et al., 2003; Hyde et al., 2009); binds to regulatory domains upstream of rpoS (Ouyang et al., 2011); exhibits post-transcriptional regulation (Hyde et al., 2007)</td>
<td>Required for infection in mice (Hyde et al., 2009; Ouyang et al., 2009b), including transmission by tick or needle inoculation (Ouyang et al., 2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PtxA</strong> (bb0733)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>PI2-domain containing protein that binds c-di-GMP; controls virulence gene expression through RpoP and is important for motility (Pitzer et al., 2011; He et al., 2014; Kostick-Dunn et al., 2018).</td>
<td>PtxA contributes to survival in ticks and mouse infection by needle inoculation (Pitzer et al., 2011; Kostick-Dunn et al., 2018), ptxA mutant B. burgdorferi are non-infectious by tick bite transmission (Pitzer et al., 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rrp2</strong> (bb0763)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Two component response regulator required for activation of rpoN expression (Ouyang et al., 2008), is activated by acetyl phosphate (Xu et al., 2010a)</td>
<td>Inactivation by point mutation abrogates mouse infection (Boardman et al., 2008; Ouyang et al., 2008).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RpsO</strong> (bb0771)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Sigma factor that promotes production of several known virulence determinants during transmission from tick to mammal and early in mammalian infection, including OsC, DbaAB (Hubner et al., 2001; Eggers et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2005), is regulated by small non-coding RNA DbaRAB (Ujecker and Samuels, 2007) and by BD818 (Hayes et al., 2014)</td>
<td>Required for infection and persistence in mice (Caimano et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2012; Caimano et al., 2019), and for dissemination within feeding tick (Dunham-Emms et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HrpA</strong> (bb0827)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>ATP-dependent DEAH-box RNA helicase involved in RNA processing and global gene regulation (Salman-Dilgimen et al., 2011; Salman-Dilgimen et al., 2013).</td>
<td>Required for mouse infection and tick transmission (Salman-Dilgimen et al., 2011; Salman-Dilgimen et al., 2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic cellular functions</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>RuvB</strong> (bb0022)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>With RuvA, Holliday junction helicase/branch migrase (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009).</td>
<td>Required for vlsE recombination, therefore antigenic variation (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RuvA</strong> (bb0023)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>With RuvB, Holliday junction helicase/branch migrase (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009).</td>
<td>Required for vlsE recombination, therefore antigenic variation (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HtrA</strong> (bb0104)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>A serine protease with a number of infection relevant target proteins (Coleman et al., 2013; Gherardi et al., 2013; Karu et al., 2013; Russell et al., 2013; Russell and Johnson, 2013; Coleman et al., 2016, 2018). Induced during stationary phase growth and at elevated temperatures (Ye et al., 2016). Sensitive to inactivation by salt and zinc (Russell et al., 2016). Important for spirochete growth and cellular organization at 37°C (Ye et al., 2016).</td>
<td>Essential for establishment of mammalian infection (Ye et al., 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BmtA</strong> (bb0219)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Manganese transporter (Ouyang et al., 2009a).</td>
<td>Required for mammalian and tick infection (Ouyang et al., 2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lon−1</strong> (bb0253)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>ATP-- and Mg-dependent protease, does not complement E. coli lon mutant (Coleman et al., 2009), slower growth in vitro and reduced resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress, increased levels of OsC, RpoS, BosR (Thompson et al., 2020).</td>
<td>Significantly attenuated in mice at single dose and time point evaluated (Thompson et al., 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BB0318</strong> (bb0318)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>ATPase component of a putative riboflavin ABC transport system (Deka et al., 2013; Showman et al., 2016).</td>
<td>Identified in IVET screen and is critical for resistance to oxidative stress, macrophage killing and mouse infectivity (Ellis et al., 2013; Showman et al., 2016).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Lcn−2** (bb0613) chr ATP− and Mg-dependent protease complements E. coli ion mutant (Coleman et al., 2009), cotranscribed with tig, cipP, cipX, not required for growth in culture, decreased resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress, decreased OsPC and RpoS levels (Mason et al., 2020).

**Psg** (bb0045) chr Putative glucose specific EIIBC component of a phosphoamylolytic phosphotransferase system and affects *B. burgdorferi* gene expression (PFP-PTS) (Khajanchi et al., 2015). Critical for mouse infection by dead cell inoculation and tick bite transmission but dispensable for survival in ticks (Khajanchi et al., 2015).

**CoAดร** (bb0728) chr Coenzyme A-dehydrogenate likely important for intracellular redox and the oxidative stress response (Boylan et al., 2008; Eggers et al., 2011) Required for infection of mice and important for survival in feeding nymphs (Eggers et al., 2011)

**OppAv** (bba34) tcp6 Oligopeptide substrate binding protein component of the Opp ABC transport system, regulated by RpoS (Komadi and Oliver, 1998; Lin et al., 2001b; Wang et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004; Caimano et al., 2007, Medrano et al., 2007, Dunham-Emes et al., 2012, Groshong et al., 2017; Caimano et al., 2019). Important for persistence in mice (Caimano et al., 2019).

**GuaA and B** (bb017,19) tcp26 GMP synthase and IMP dehydrogenase, respectively, involved in purine salvage (Margolis et al., 2014; Jewett et al., 2009) Transposon mutagenesis suggested guaB is required for mouse infection (Botkin et al., 2006), promote replication in tick, required for mouse infection via needle inoculation and tick bite (Jewett et al., 2009)

**BBB23, BBB23** (bb022,23) tcp26 Purine permeases essential for hypoxanthine transport and critical for adenine and guanine transport (Jain et al., 2012) Spirochetes lacking both bbb22 and bbb23 are non-infectious in mice. bbb22 alone is sufficient to restore infectivity, but bbb22 alone is not (Jain et al., 2012).

**PnA** (bba22) tcp25 Nicotinamidase involved in NAD metabolism (Purser et al., 2003) Inactivation results in loss of infectivity in mice (Purser et al., 2003).

**AdcC** (bb017) tcp36 Adenine deaminase; converts adenine to hypoxanthine. Involved in purine interconversion (Jewett et al., 2007b). Required for full infectivity in mice, but not in ticks (Jewett et al., 2007b)

### Hypothetical proteins of unknown function

**BB0238** (bb0238) chr A tetraheptapeptide repeat (TPR) domain containing protein that localizes to the inner membrane (Groshong et al., 2014). Interacts with the N-terminus of BB0323 to promote posttranslational protein stability (Kariu et al., 2015). Critical for mammalian infection and persistence by promoting spirochete burden in mouse tissues as well as heart and joint inflammation (Groshong et al., 2014; Kariu et al., 2015).

**BB0323** (bb0323) chr A membrane-associated immunogenic protein that contains a C-terminal LysM domain and is important for spirochete morphology and cell division (Stewart et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2009). The protein undergoes multiple proteolysis events mediated by HtrA (BB0104) and interacts with BB0238 (Kariu et al., 2015). Essential for infectivity and persistence in the mouse and transmission throughout the enzootic cycle (Zhang et al., 2009).

**BB0646** (bb0646) chr Lipolytic and hemolytic activities (Shaw et al., 2012) Inactivation leads to reduced bacterial loads in mice (Shaw et al., 2012)

**BB0744** (bb0744) chr Identified as possible adhesin but is subsurface (Wager et al., 2015) Mutant is attenuated in mice (Wager et al., 2015)

**BB0403** (bb0403) tcp54 Induced during tick feeding (Bestor et al., 2012). Provides a competitive advantage during tick transmission (Bestor et al., 2012).

**BB0407** (bb0407) tcp54 A surface exposed lipoprotein that is induced during tick feeding (Xu et al., 2010b). Contribution to transmission by tick (Xu et al., 2010b).

**BB0454** (bb0454) tcp54 A surface exposed, immunogenic lipoprotein (Brooks et al., 2006; Nowalk et al., 2006a; Barbour et al., 2006). Contribution to transmission by tick (Gilmore et al., 2010; Patton et al., 2011).

**BB0468** (bb0468) tcp54 Surface exposed, immunogenic lipoprotein (Brooks et al., 2006; Nowalk et al., 2006a; Barbour et al., 2008). Contribution to transmission by tick (Patton et al., 2013).

**bbx07** tcp17 317 bp intergenic transcript (Casselli et al., 2019) Contributes to colonization of mouse tissues as well as induction of carditis and arthritis (Casselli et al., 2019).

**BBK13** (bbk13) tcp36 Non-surface exposed immunogenic protein (Ananjuez et al., 2019). Promotes *B. burgdorferi* proliferation and dissemination, especially in skin (Ananjuez et al., 2019).

### Adhesion

**Lmp1** (bb0210) chr A surface localized membrane protein (Yang et al., 2009) that binds chondroitin-6-sulfate (Yang et al., 2016) and undergoes proteolytic processing mediated, in part, by HtrA (BB0104) (Zhuang X et al., 2018 doi: 10.1111/cmi.12855). Selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007), protects against host acquired immunity (Yang et al., 2009), facilitates *B. burgdorferi* dissemination through ticks (Koci J. et al., 2018 doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20208-4).

**BB0172** (bb0172) chr Recombinant protein binds integrin α3β1 (Wood et al., 2013) Immunization with BB0172 peptides protects mice against *B. burgdorferi* infection (Small et al., 2014; Hassann et al., 2019)

**BB0347** (bb0347) chr Binds fibronectin (Gaultney et al., 2013) Does not have a role in vascular interactions in skin (Moriarty et al., 2012), but increases binding in mouse joint tissue 1 hr post-inoculation and tick bite (Jewett et al., 2009)

**BmpA** (bb0385), BmpD (bb0385) chr BmpA binds laminin (Verma et al., 2009) BmpA/B contribute to joint persistence and arthritis (Pal et al., 2008), BmpD selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007)

**BB0406, BB0406** (bb0406, bb0406) chr Closely related, BB0406 binds laminin (Bista et al., 2020) Each is required for optimal infection in mice (Shrestha et al., 2017; Bista et al., 2020).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Function and Characterization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bgp (bb0588)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Binds heparin, dermatan sulfate GAGs (Parveen et al., 2006); aggrecaen (Russell and Johnson, 2013); has nucleosidase activity (Parveen et al., 2006); not essential for infection of mice, but promotes colonization (Parveen et al., 2006; Schlauchter et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P66 (bb0603)</td>
<td>chr</td>
<td>Porin (Skare et al., 1997; Pinne et al., 2007; Barcena-Urbari et al., 2010; Barcena-Urbari et al., 2013), binds certain integrins (Coburn et al., 1999; Coburn and Cugini, 2003); inactivation in noninfectious B. burgdorferi reduces binding to integrins and eliminates specific channel forming activity (Coburn and Cugini, 2003; Pinne et al., 2007); selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007), inactivation in infectious B. burgdorferi results in loss of infectivity in mice (Ristow et al., 2012); disruption of integrin binding activity decreases dissemination in mice (Ristow et al., 2015) and vascular transmigration in mice (Kumar et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DbpA and B (bb024, bb025)</td>
<td>ip54</td>
<td>Binding to extracellular matrix (ECM) component decorin; GAGs (Guo et al., 1998; Fischer et al., 2003); 3 critical lysines required for binding (Brown et al., 1998); allelic variation influences binding to ECM and cells (Benolfi et al., 2011); lack of expression of either dbpA or dbpB results in reduced virulence, (Blevins et al., 2008; Hyde et al., 2011), less so when delivered by tick bite than by needle inoculation of cultured bacteria (Blevins et al., 2008), effects seen primarily early in infection (Weening et al., 2008; Imai et al., 2013) 3 critical lysines required for full virulence (Fortune et al., 2014), promotes joint colonization and arthritis in mice (Lin et al., 2014; Sato et al., 2015), allelic variation influences tissue tropism (Shi et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBA33 (bb323)</td>
<td>ip54</td>
<td>Binds to collagen types IV and VI (Zhi et al., 2015); transposon insertion attenuated in mice (Lin et al., 2012), targeted mutant cleared from mice by day 4 (Zhi et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OspC (bbb19)</td>
<td>cp26</td>
<td>Increased expression under mammalian tissue conditions (37°C) (Schwan and Piesman, 2000); binds a tick salivary protein that inhibits complement (Rammooorthi et al., 2005; Schulte et al., 2008), binds plasminogen (Lagal et al., 2006), binds complement factor C4b and inhibits complement cascade (Cain et al., 2017); binds fibrinogen (Bernwagen et al., 2019), binds fibronectin and/or dermatan sulfate GAGs depending on type (Lin et al., 2020c); induced in midgut of feeding tick (Schwan et al., 1995; Schwan and Piesman, 2000); required for early stages of infection (Grimm et al., 2004b; Stewart et al., 2006; Tiley et al., 2006), antiphagocytic (Cain et al., 2017), selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007); binding to dermatan sulfate GAG required for joint colonization; binding to Fn and GAG required for infectivity (Lin et al., 2020c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBK32 (bbb32)</td>
<td>ip36</td>
<td>Binds to fibronectin and GAGs (Probert and Johnson, 1998; Probert et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2006); binds complement protein C1r and blocks activation of complement C1r and thus C1s (Garcia et al., 2016); quantifiable attenuation of bbk32 mutant on overall infectivity in mice (Hyde et al., 2011); Li et al., 2006; Seshu et al., 2006; mediates interactions with the vasculature in mice (Moriarty et al., 2008; Norman et al., 2008; Moriarty et al., 2012), affects tissue tropism in mice (Lin et al., 2015), GAG binding domain confers bloodstream survival in mice (Cain and Coburn, 2015) and promotes joint colonization (Lin et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RvA (bblm27, bblp27)</td>
<td>cp32s</td>
<td>Binds fibronectin, laminin (Brissette et al., 2005a; Moriarty et al., 2012); promotes heart colonization (Lin et al., 2012; Byram et al., 2015); but gain of function decreases bacterial binding in heart (Cain and Coburn, 2015), does not have a role in vascular interactions in skin (Moriarty et al., 2012);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immune evasion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Function and Characterization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBA57 (bb057)</td>
<td>ip54</td>
<td>Lipoprotein originally of unknown function (Yang et al., 2013), modulates expression of multiple infection relevant B. burgdorferi proteins (Bernard et al., 2018); supports survival during early infection by protecting against neutrophils, suppressing host complement-mediated killing and regulating type I interferon and triggers neutrophil chemotaxis and inflammation during late disseminated infection (Yang et al., 2013; Bernard et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CspA (aka CRASP-1)</td>
<td>ip54</td>
<td>Complement regulator-acquiring surface protein-1, binds to complement inhibitory factor H and FH-like-1 (Kraicy et al., 2000a; Kraicy et al., 2001c); binds terminal complement complement factor C7 and C9, blocks C9 polymerization (Hallstrom et al., 2013), confers serum resistance (Brooks et al., 2005; Kenedy et al., 2009; Hammerschmidt et al., 2014); inactivation of gene decreases resistance to killing by normal human serum (Brooks et al., 2005; Kenedy et al., 2009), binds plasminogen (Hallstrom et al., 2010); produced during transmission/adaptation to mammal but not in tick (von Lackum et al., 2005; Bykowski et al., 2007) required to survive in feeding nymphal ticks and for transmission to mice (Hart et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OspC (bbb19)</td>
<td>cp26</td>
<td>Increased expression under mammalian tissue conditions (37°C) (Schwan and Piesman, 2000); binds a tick salivary protein that inhibits complement (Rammooorthi et al., 2005; Schulte et al., 2008), binds plasminogen (Lagal et al., 2006), binds complement factor C4b and inhibits complement cascade (Cain et al., 2017); binds fibrinogen (Bernwagen et al., 2019), binds fibronectin and/or dermatan sulfate GAGs depending on type (Lin et al., 2020c); induced in midgut of feeding tick (Schwan et al., 1995; Schwan and Piesman, 2000); required for early stages of infection (Grimm et al., 2004b; Stewart et al., 2006; Tiley et al., 2006), antiphagocytic (Cain et al., 2017), selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007); binding to dermatan sulfate GAG required for joint colonization; binding to Fn and GAG required for infectivity (Lin et al., 2020c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VlsE (bb032)</td>
<td>ip28-1</td>
<td>Antigenic variation system (Zhang et al., 1997; Zhang and Norris, 1998a, b); unusual recombination requirements for Rvu4-Rvu8 Holiday junction helicase/branch migrase (Dresser et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2009; Castellanos et al., 2018; Verhey et al., 2018b, a, 2019) (reviewed in (Norris, 2006; Chaconas et al., 2020)); antigenic variation system active during infection (Zhang and Norris, 1998b), complete via locus required for full capacity for recombination and therefore evasion of adaptive immunity and persistence in immunocompetent mice (Zhang et al., 1997; Zhang and Norris, 1998a, b; Bankhead and Chaconas, 2007); changes recently tracked and quantified (Castellanos et al., 2018; Verhey et al., 2018b, a, 2019) and locus calculated to encode on the order of 10^6 variants, selected using in vivo phage display for binding to the vascular endothelium (Antonara et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crasp2 (aka CspZ)</td>
<td>ip28-3</td>
<td>Complement regulator-acquiring surface protein-2, binds to complement inhibitory factors H and FH-like-1 (Hartmann et al., 2006; Haupt et al., 2007), confers serum resistance (Hartmann et al., 2006). CspZ production is induced by human blood leading to complement resistance (Marcinkiewicz et al., 2019); produced during mammalian infection but not in ticks (Bykowski et al., 2007; Marcinkiewicz et al., 2019), inactivation reduces dissemination and colonization in vertebrate and avian hosts (Marcinkiewicz et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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