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Abstract

Fuelled by the drive to complete the Human Genome Project, many laboratories have developed new methods of screening clone libraries. From PCR-based strategies to pooling schemes and increased automation, the tedious task of library screening has become less labour-intensive and more cost-efficient. Currently, two main screening methods dominate: hybridization and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). In the following article, we present a brief overview of hybridization and PCR-based screening of yeast and bacterial libraries. Multi-faceted approaches combining different techniques, as well as less frequently employed methods such as fingerprinting are also described.

Introduction

Prior to the completion of a working draft of the human genome on June 26, 2000 (Meldrum 2000), there was a strong drive to advance genetic and molecular biology genomic analysis methods. Other current large-scale sequencing projects are continuing to provide the impetus to further improve such methods. One of the most common rate-limiting steps in genomic analysis is the screening of library clones. Traditional methods relied upon the Benton-Davis technique (1977) for phage plaques and the Grunstein and Hogness method (1975) for Escherichia coli colonies. These protocols involve immobilization of recombinant DNA molecules on solid supports, followed by DNA/DNA or RNA/DNA hybridization with specific probes to detect clones containing sequences of interest. Although effective, these protocols are laborious and prone to artefacts such as false positives. Over the past decade, a number of new strategies have been devised. Such strategies include PCR-based methods, pooling schemes, combination approaches, and increased automation. Additionally, initial hybridization methods have been modified. These new approaches have greatly decreased time and cost requirements of library screening. The following article presents a brief overview of current commonly used strategies to screen yeast and bacterial libraries.

Hybridization

Screening large numbers of clones to identify those containing desired DNA sequences is a key step in many applications of recombinant DNA technology. One of the first large-scale screening methods introduced, hybridization, quickly became a standard technique that is still in widespread use today (Figure 1a; Grunstein and Hogness 1975, Benton and Davis 1977, Coulson et al. 1988, Evans and Lewis 1989, Traver et al. 1989, Shizuya et al. 1992, Ioannou et al. 1994, Nilsen et al. 1997, Asakawa et al. 1998, Han et al. 2000). Hybridization-based screening can be performed against high-density gridded macroarrays of a whole library using either a single type or mixtures of different types of radioactively labelled probes. The most frequently used probes include subcloned DNA fragments, PCR amplifier products, or DNA oligonucleotides (Han et al. 2000). Several variations upon the common theme of hybridization have been developed including “hybridization fingerprinting” (Craig et al. 1990), pulsed-field gel southern blot analysis of pooled clones (Mendez et al. 1991), and two-dimensional overgo hybridization (Han et al. 2000). The most rapidly-evolving aspect of hybridization-based screening, however, has been increased automation. From robotic colony and plaque pickers to full-fledged automated workstations, there is an increasing emphasis being placed upon mechanical labour-saving devices (Nizetic et al. 1991, Uber et al. 1991, Olsen et al. 1993, Panussis et al. 1996, Meldrum 2000). As new technologies continue to force prices lower, such computer-controlled systems will not be limited to large genome centers, but will also be available to smaller laboratories, permitting rapid hybridization-based screening and increased productivity.

Polymerase Chain Reaction

PCR is one of the most widely used techniques in molecular biology. It has been applied to mutation analysis, nucleotide quantification, forensic DNA fingerprinting, and genomic analysis. PCR-based strategies now rival hybridization-based strategies as the method of choice when screening yeast and bacterial libraries. A single PCR can be used to determine insert presence, size, and orientation without the need for purification, restriction digestion, or hybridization. Some of the more frequently used PCR-based screening approaches include sequence-tagged site (STS)-PCR (Green and Olson 1990a), interspersed repetitive sequences (IRS)-PCR (Liu et al. 1995), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP; Vos et al. 1995, Klein et al. 2000), and island rescue PCR (IRP; Valdes et al. 1994). Numerous PCR-based screening protocols have demonstrated that crude cell lysate from whole cells or phage is sufficient for analysis (Gussow and Clackson 1989, Zon et al. 1989, Bloem and Yu 1990, Isola et al. 1991, Israel 1993, McAlinden and Krawetz 1994, Ling et al. 1995, Campbell and Choy 2001, Sambrook and Russell
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However, many strategies still include a template purification step. Most PCR-based screening methods share a common theme. This involves initial large-scale screening by PCR-amplifying pooled sample from rinsed library filters or microtiter plate cultures, followed by repeated rounds of screening of incrementally smaller pools (i.e. smaller numbers/sections of filters or greater serial dilutions) from which a positive signal has been observed (Figure 1b; Yu and Bloem 1996). The majority of pooling designs involve plate/row/column pools (Kwiatkowski et al. 1990, Chumakov et al. 1992, Libert et al. 1993, Bruno et al. 1995, Alphey et al. 1997), though variations such as two-step PCR screening (Asakawa et al. 1997) and sixfold pooling (Klein et al. 2000) have been described. As with hybridization-based library screening strategies, PCR-based techniques have benefited from increased automation. Recent advances in thermal cyclers, for example, have focused on scaling sample volumes down, increasing the number of samples run simultaneously, and decreasing overall required cycling time (Meldrum 2000).

**Combination and Other Methods**

Though both hybridization and PCR are sufficient screening methods when used alone, it is often desirable to use more than one approach to obtain reliable and robust results. While hybridization offers the advantage of screening large numbers of clones in parallel, it often yields multiple false positives/negatives and requires working with large numbers of filters. PCR, on the other hand, greatly decreases the number of false positive/negatives and the amount of filter preparation, but requires sequence information and primer generation for each probe (Mendez et al. 1991). Therefore, it not surprising that the majority of genomic studies use both hybridization and PCR-based methods (Edwards et al. 1992, McAlinden and Krawetz 1994, McCormick et al. 1993, Brodyanskii et al. 1995, Gingrich et al. 1996, Kim et al. 1996, Umehara et al. 1996, Hunt et al. 1999, Crooijmans et al. 2000). Some reported screening protocols combine both hybridization and PCR into one continuous method, instead of performing each separately. One combination technique, first presented by Green and Olson (1990b), involves rounds of pooled PCR screening with a final hybridization step. This method has been utilized in numerous studies since then (Anand et al. 1990, Riley et al. 1990, Strauss et al. 1992, Bonemma et al. 1996, Michalek et al. 1997, Gosele et al. 2000, Sambrook and Russell 2001). Another combination technique, termed Alu-PCR, utilizes primers to conserved Alu repeats and selectively amplifies sequences between properly oriented Alu segments. These amplified sequences are then identified solely by hybridization (Nelson et al. 1989, Nelson et al. 1991, Amemiya et al. 1992).

Aside from PCR and hybridization, other screening methods have been employed. One such method is “fingerprinting” (sometimes alternatively referred to as “restriction mapping”). In general, this involves clone isolation, restriction digestion, electrophoresis, and banding pattern visualization/comparison (Figure 1c; Silverman et al. 1989, Marra et al. 1997, Cao et al. 1999, Vollrath and Jaramillo-Babb 1999, Zhu et al. 1999). Fingerprinting techniques have two main advantages: a small increase in the mapping effort per clone with the size of the project (proportional to the logarithm of the number of clones) and insensitivity to interspersed repetitive sequences (Craig et
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Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Over the past decade, there has been an exponential increase in the development of new library screening methods. The efficiency of PCR-based techniques has been increased with the introduction of new primer design tactics, pooling schemes, and improved thermal cyclers. Hybridization-based approaches have been stream-lined due to the creation and modification of labour-saving devices such as robotic plaque/colony pickers and gridders. Though a working draft of the human genome is now available, there is a need for automation and hybridization-based approaches to be streamlined with the introduction of new primer design methods, techniques. It is likely that increased automation will play a key role in developing new methods and revising past protocols.
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