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Abstract

We designed a simple but sensitive program, IntraCompare, for identifying internal repeats in families of homologous proteins. The protein sequences are aligned (Clustal X), the regions to be compared are selected, and all potential repeat sequences are compared with all others. The output provides comparison scores (GAP program) expressed in standard deviations.

Introduction

Proteins and their associated functions evolve as a consequence of inherited genetic alterations. Thus the huge spectrum of proteins found in living organisms has its roots in genetic events operating on a set of ancestral genes. Gene duplication is one of the major events responsible for increased complexity in the protein world (Ohno, 1970). Duplication within a gene often gives rise to non-overlapping regions of the protein sequence that share sequence similarity. These repeats vary considerably in length and degree of sequence similarity, depending on the nature of the duplication, the time interval elapsed since the duplication occurred and the rate of sequence divergence (Saier, 1994). The polyglutamine tracts of the Huntington’s disease gene product, huntingtin (Davies and Ramsden, 2001), and the large repetitions containing multiple domains found in the cytoskeletal protein titin (Gerull et al., 2002) provide interesting examples.

Over the past decade, our lab and others have been concerned with molecular archaeological studies aimed at revealing the origins and evolutionary histories of permeases (Saier, 1999). The importance of repeats to an understanding of biological function resides not only in their frequencies among known sequences, but also in their abilities to confer multiple binding sites and complementary functions. Internal gene duplication can also provide evidence concerning the evolution pathway taken for the appearance of a family of transport proteins (Saier and Tseng, 1999). Demonstration of dissimilar repeat elements in different families can be used to establish independent origin (Saier, 2001). They also play an important role in the appearance of novel transporter types proposed to follow the pathway: channel proteins—secondary active transporters—primary active transporters (Saier, 2000a).

Methods used to identify internal proteinaceous repeats exhibiting high sequence similarities are relatively straightforward. Detecting homologous repeats when similarities are low, however, represents a considerably more challenging endeavor. In this communication, we provide a program that facilitates such endeavors.

There are currently several tools available for detecting repeat sequences in proteins. For example, the REP program (Andrade et al., 2000; http://www.embibeidelberg.de/~andrade/paper/rep/search.html) and the SMART program (Schultz et al., 2000) can be used for this purpose. The REP program is a homology-based method which uses an iterative algorithm to estimate the significance of possible repeats in a sequence. For some purposes, this method is more sensitive and selective than conventional homology search procedures. The internal repeat identification program implemented in the SMART program is called Prospero (Mott, 2000). This program compares protein sequences using the Smith-Waterman algorithm and assesses statistical significance using a novel accurate formula.

The traditional PSI-BLAST program (Altschul et al., 1997) and the HMMER program (Eddy, 1998) may facilitate repeat detection. Repeats are usually indicted by (1) a region in the query sequence that aligns with two distinct regions in the second protein, and (2) different regions of the query sequence that align with a homologous region in a second protein.

These methods have been widely used, but often they are unable to correctly predict the duplication because of weak sequence similarity. Here we describe a novel program (IntraCompare) with enhanced sensitivity because it identifies internal duplications in members of an entire protein family rather than in a single protein. Since this method is based on all possible comparisons for potentially homologous regions in members of large families, the probability of identifying internal duplications is greatly enhanced.

Description

The IntraCompare program is implemented with the GAP program (Devereaux et al., 1984) which can be used to compare any two protein sequences. Based on our experience, homology between two proteins is considered proven if comparable regions of these
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proteins (60 residues or more) exhibit a comparison score in excess of 9 standard deviations. This value corresponds to a probability of less than \(10^{-19}\) that the observed degree of similarity occurred by chance (Dayhoff et al., 1983). We have designed IntraCompare to start with a multiple sequence alignment in Clustal X format (Thompson et al., 1997) since Clustal X is one of the most popular and reliable sequence alignment tools currently available.

In the command line of the IntraCompare program, the user may define the region of potential internal duplication as, for example, can be determined using the AveHAS program (Zhai and Saier, 2001a) or the WHAT program (Zhai and Saier, 2001b), both developed in our laboratory. AveHAS is a CGI program that can use the multiple sequence alignment generated with the Clustal X program as its input to calculate average hydropathy, average amphipathicity and average similarity as a function of alignment position. These qualities are of particular value for the characterization of membrane proteins. WHAT provides hydropathy and amphipathicity values as a function of residue number plus additional information for individual proteins. IntraCompare systematically compares the regions defined, and standard deviation values are outputted in tab delimited format which can be easily loaded into any spreadsheet program such as Excel for further data analysis.

### Application to the PHS Family

The Phosphate:H\(^+\) Symporter (PHS) (TC #2.A.1.9) family within the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) is presumed to be of ancient origin, and therefore one would expect it to be ubiquitous in the major domains of life (Pao et al., 1998; Saier, 2000b). Two well-characterized members of the PHS family are the Pho84 inorganic phosphate transporter of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Bun-Ya et al., 1991) and the GvPT phosphate transporter of *Glomus versiforme* (Harrison and van Buuren, 1995).

We chose 6 members of the PHS family (Table 1) to make a multiple sequence alignment, and this sequence alignment was analyzed by the AveHAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Database description</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>GI #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHO84 Sce</td>
<td>Inorganic phosphate transporter pho84</td>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>587</td>
<td>1346710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GvPT Gve</td>
<td>Phosphate transport protein</td>
<td><em>Glomus versiforme</em></td>
<td>521</td>
<td>2147899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pho-5+ Ncr</td>
<td>Repressible high-affinity phosphate permease</td>
<td><em>Neurospora crassa</em></td>
<td>569</td>
<td>536860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtPT1 Ath</td>
<td>Phosphate transporter</td>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1502428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AtPT2 Ath</td>
<td>Phosphate transporter</td>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em></td>
<td>534</td>
<td>15224985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT1 Stu</td>
<td>Inorganic phosphate transporter</td>
<td><em>Solanum tuberosum</em></td>
<td>540</td>
<td>5053118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Members of the PHS family used in the analysis.

Figure 1. Average hydropathy (solid line) and average similarity (dashed line) for the multiple alignment of the 6 members of the PHS family presented in Table 1. The AveHAS program was used to generate the plots. The 12 TMSs are labeled 1-6 (first repeat) and 1'-6' (second repeat). in, in the cytoplasm; out, in the extracytoplasmic medium.
program. Figure 1 shows the average hydropathy and similarity plots. There are 8 regions of conservation revealed by the output of AveHAS, and the symmetry of the plot suggests that the 2 halves might have arisen from a single primordial sequence. Thus, each half contains 6 hydrophobic peaks corresponding to 6 putative transmembrane α-helices, and the graphic display of the first half of the plot resembles the second half. Since the N- and C-termini of all characterized MFS permeases are cytoplasmic, the similarity plot reveals that the inter-TMS cytoplasmic loops are better conserved than the extracytoplasmic loops. The possibility that an internal duplication event occurred during evolution of this family has been documented previously (see Pao et al., 1998 and references cited therein).

We analyzed each of the 6 sequences in the PHS family using the REP and SMART programs, but neither of these programs found the duplication. According to the AveHAS results, we split the sequence alignment into two halves, corresponding to alignment positions 1 to 328 and 329 to 610. IntraCompare compared the first halves with the second halves, i.e., 36 comparisons. This number of comparisons increases exponentially with the number of sequences in the alignment. Two comparisons made by IntraCompare clearly revealed the internal duplication and established homology. One is the first half of AtPT2 Ath compared with the second half of Pho-5+ Ncr, and the other is the first half of GvPT Gwe compared with the second half of Pho84 Soc. The standard deviations of these comparisons are 9.1 and 11.5, respectively, clearly establishing homology (Saier, 1994). From the IntraCompare results, we conclude that proteins of the PHS family, and therefore probably all members of the MFS, arose by an internal gene duplication event (Pao et al., 1998).

Conclusion

We have described a novel program (IntraCompare), written in PERL language, which uses Clustal X sequence alignments as input to calculate the similarity of regions in the alignment which may be internally duplicated.
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